Integration of Magnetic Field Techniques for Accurate Mineral Identification in Reservoir Rocks

AUTHOR:Heston Richard, Clement Alex, Steve Marketyn

Date:20/10/2024

ABSTRACT

Accurate mineral identification in reservoir rocks is crucial for optimizing exploration, production, and reservoir management in the oil and gas industry. Traditional methods for mineral identification, such as X-ray diffraction (XRD) and thin section petrography, while effective, can be time-consuming and limited in providing comprehensive real-time data. This study explores the integration of magnetic field techniques to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of mineral identification in reservoir rocks. By leveraging the magnetic properties of minerals, we demonstrate that magnetic susceptibility, magnetic resonance, and induced polarization can provide rapid and reliable insights into the mineral composition of reservoir rocks.

The combination of these magnetic field techniques with conventional methods not only improves the precision of mineral characterization but also offers significant advantages in terms of speed and cost-effectiveness. This integrated approach is presented as a promising tool for advancing the accuracy of mineralogical analyses, ultimately contributing to more effective reservoir management and resource extraction strategies. The results underscore the potential of magnetic field-based techniques as a supplementary method for enhancing traditional mineral identification processes.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background on Mineral Identification in Reservoir Rocks

Mineral identification in reservoir rocks plays a crucial role in petroleum geology, as it directly impacts reservoir quality, fluid flow properties, and hydrocarbon recovery efficiency. Reservoir rocks, primarily composed of sandstone, carbonate, and shale formations, contain a variety of minerals that influence porosity, permeability, and mechanical properties. Accurate characterization of these minerals is essential for predicting reservoir behavior, optimizing drilling strategies, and improving reservoir management.

Traditionally, mineral identification has been conducted using techniques such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and thin-section petrography. These methods provide detailed insights into mineralogical composition but often involve extensive sample preparation, time-consuming analyses, and potential limitations in identifying certain mineral phases.

1.2 Importance of Accurate Mineral Identification for Reservoir

Characterization and Hydrocarbon Exploration

Precise mineral identification is essential for effective reservoir characterization and hydrocarbon exploration. Mineral composition determines the petrophysical properties of reservoir rocks, including wettability, diagenetic alterations, and rock-fluid interactions, all of which influence hydrocarbon recovery.

Accurate identification aids in:

- Evaluating rock strength and fracture potential, which is critical for wellbore stability.

- Assessing reservoir heterogeneity and its impact on fluid flow.

- Differentiating between clay minerals that affect permeability and hydrocarbon mobility.

- Enhancing predictive models for reservoir performance and secondary recovery strategies.

By improving mineral identification techniques, geoscientists and petroleum engineers can make more informed decisions regarding reservoir management and production optimization.

1.3 Limitations of Conventional Techniques (XRD, SEM, Petrographic Analysis)

Despite their reliability, conventional mineral identification techniques have several limitations:

- **Time-Consuming Procedures** – XRD and SEM analyses require extensive sample preparation and lengthy processing times.

- **Destructive Nature** – Many traditional methods involve sample destruction, making real-time and in-situ applications impractical.

- **Difficulty in Detecting Certain Minerals** – Some minerals with similar diffraction patterns or compositions are challenging to distinguish using XRD alone.

- **Limited Field Applicability** – Most conventional techniques require laboratory conditions, restricting their use for real-time reservoir evaluation.

These challenges highlight the need for alternative approaches that are faster, non-destructive, and applicable in both laboratory and field settings.

1.4 Introduction to Magnetic Field Techniques as an Alternative Approach

Magnetic field techniques provide a promising alternative for mineral identification in reservoir rocks. These methods leverage the magnetic properties of minerals to distinguish between different compositions efficiently. Commonly used magnetic field techniques include:

- **Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements** – Identifies paramagnetic, diamagnetic, and ferromagnetic minerals based on their response to an applied magnetic field.

- **Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)** – Utilized for fluid and mineral differentiation, particularly in reservoir evaluation.

- **Electromagnetic Induction Methods** – Effective for subsurface imaging and detecting mineral variations without direct contact with rock samples.

These techniques offer several advantages, including rapid analysis, minimal sample preparation, and potential for real-time reservoir monitoring. Their integration with existing petrophysical tools can enhance mineral identification accuracy and improve reservoir characterization.

1.5 Objectives of the Study

This study aims to:

- ✓ Explore the application of magnetic field techniques in mineral identification.
- ✓ Compare the effectiveness of magnetic susceptibility, NMR, and electromagnetic induction methods with conventional techniques.
- ✓ Evaluate the advantages and limitations of integrating magnetic field approaches for reservoir characterization.
- ✓ Demonstrate the potential of these techniques in real-time mineral identification and hydrocarbon exploration.

By addressing these objectives, the study seeks to provide insights into the viability of magnetic field techniques as a complementary or alternative tool for accurate mineral identification in reservoir rocks.

2. Theoretical Background of Magnetic Field Techniques

2.1 Overview of Magnetic Properties of Minerals (Paramagnetic, Diamagnetic, Ferromagnetic)

Minerals exhibit distinct magnetic properties based on their atomic structure and electron configurations. These properties influence their interaction with external magnetic fields and serve as a basis for mineral identification. The three primary magnetic classifications of minerals are:

Paramagnetic Minerals

- Possess unpaired electrons, resulting in a weak positive response to an applied magnetic field.
- The magnetic susceptibility is positive but relatively low.
- Examples: Biotite, pyroxene, olivine, and some iron-bearing clays.

Diamagnetic Minerals

- ✧ Contain only paired electrons, leading to a weak negative response to an applied magnetic field.
- ✧ Magnetic susceptibility is negative, meaning these minerals slightly repel magnetic fields.

Examples: Quartz, calcite, feldspar, and halite.

- Ferromagnetic and Ferrimagnetic Minerals
- Exhibit strong magnetization due to aligned unpaired electrons.
- Can retain magnetization even after the external field is removed.

Examples: Magnetite, hematite, and pyrrhotite.

Understanding these properties is fundamental for applying magnetic field techniques to mineral identification, as different minerals respond uniquely to magnetic fields.

Principles of Magnetic Susceptibility in Mineral Identification

Magnetic susceptibility refers to a material's ability to become magnetized when exposed to an external magnetic field. It is a key parameter in distinguishing minerals based on their magnetic behavior.

Measurement Techniques:

- Magnetic susceptibility is measured using instruments like the Kappabridge susceptibility meter and vibrating sample magnetometers (VSM).
- Susceptibility values help differentiate between paramagnetic, diamagnetic, and ferromagnetic minerals.

Application in Reservoir Rocks:

- ❖ Identifies the presence of iron-bearing minerals, which influence reservoir quality.

- ❖ Distinguishes between clays and non-clay minerals in shales.

- ❖ Assesses mineral alterations due to diagenesis and fluid interactions.

Magnetic susceptibility measurements provide a rapid, non-destructive method for analyzing rock composition, making them a valuable tool for reservoir evaluation.

2.2 Role of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) in Mineral and Fluid Characterization

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) is widely used in reservoir characterization to analyze both solid mineral components and the fluids within rock pores. It operates based on the interaction of atomic nuclei with an external magnetic field and radiofrequency pulses.

Mineral Characterization:

- ➢ Identifies minerals by detecting variations in relaxation times (T1 and T2) due to differences in electron density.

- ➢ Differentiates between minerals with similar chemical compositions but varying magnetic properties.

Fluid Characterization:

- ✓ Measures porosity and permeability by analyzing fluid movement within rock pores.

- ✓ Distinguishes between bound and free fluid volumes, which is critical for hydrocarbon reservoir evaluation.

- ✓ Identifies fluid types (oil, gas, or water) based on their NMR response.

By integrating NMR with magnetic susceptibility, a more comprehensive understanding of both the mineral matrix and fluid distribution in reservoir rocks can be achieved.

2.3 Electromagnetic Induction Techniques for Subsurface Analysis

Electromagnetic induction techniques provide a powerful tool for non-intrusive subsurface mineral identification and reservoir characterization. These methods involve the generation of electromagnetic fields and the measurement of their interaction with subsurface materials.

Basic Principles:

- An alternating current generates a primary magnetic field.

- Conductive minerals induce secondary currents, which generate secondary magnetic fields.

- The interaction of these fields is analyzed to determine mineral properties.

Applications in Reservoir Analysis:

- **Mineral Mapping**: Detects variations in conductivity and magnetic susceptibility to distinguish different mineral compositions.

- **Fluid Detection**: Identifies water-saturated zones versus hydrocarbon-bearing formations.

- **Structural Imaging**: Provides insights into fault zones, fractures, and subsurface heterogeneity.

Electromagnetic induction methods, combined with magnetic susceptibility and NMR, enhance the accuracy of mineral identification in reservoir rocks, improving reservoir characterization and hydrocarbon exploration.

By integrating these magnetic field techniques, geoscientists and petroleum engineers can obtain a more detailed and accurate assessment of mineral compositions, leading to better reservoir management and resource optimization.

3. Methodology

3.1 Selection of Reservoir Rock Samples for Study

The selection of reservoir rock samples is a critical step in evaluating the effectiveness of magnetic field techniques for mineral identification. This study focuses on representative reservoir rock types, including:

- **Sandstone**: Composed mainly of quartz, feldspar, and clay minerals, with variable iron-bearing minerals influencing its magnetic properties.

- **Carbonate Rocks**: Predominantly composed of calcite and dolomite, often containing paramagnetic impurities such as iron oxides.

- **Shale**: Rich in clay minerals and organic matter, with variations in magnetic susceptibility due to the presence of iron-bearing phases.

Samples were collected from different petroleum basins to ensure diversity in mineral composition and depositional environments. Standardized sample preparation procedures, including cutting, cleaning, and drying, were followed to ensure consistency in measurements.

3.2 Experimental Setup and Instrumentation for Magnetic Field Measurements

A combination of advanced magnetic field techniques was used to analyze the selected rock samples. The key instruments and their functionalities are outlined below:

1. Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements

Instrument: Kappabridge KLY-4S Magnetic Susceptibility Meter

Procedure:

- Samples were placed in a non-magnetic sample holder.
- Magnetic susceptibility was measured at low and high field strengths.
- Values were analyzed to distinguish between paramagnetic, diamagnetic, and ferromagnetic minerals.

2. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy

Instrument: Oxford GeoSpec2 2 MHz NMR Core Analyzer

Procedure:

- ✧ Samples were saturated with fluids to simulate in-situ reservoir conditions.

- ✧ T1 and T2 relaxation times were measured to assess mineral-fluid interactions.

- ✧ NMR responses were correlated with known mineral compositions.

3. Electromagnetic Induction Measurements

Instrument: Terraplus EM31-MK2 Electromagnetic Induction System

Procedure:

- ➢ Electromagnetic fields were applied to rock samples.

- ➢ Conductivity and magnetic response were recorded.

- ➢ Results were used to identify minerals with varying electrical conductivities and magnetic susceptibilities.

These instruments were calibrated using standard mineral references to ensure accuracy and reproducibility of measurements.

3.3 Data Collection and Processing Techniques

To ensure consistency and reliability, the following data collection and processing protocols were implemented:

1. Data Acquisition

- Each sample was subjected to multiple measurements to reduce errors and improve statistical reliability.

- Temperature and moisture conditions were controlled to prevent variations in magnetic responses.

- Measurements were repeated on different orientations to assess anisotropy in mineral distributions.

2. Data Processing and Analysis

- **Magnetic Susceptibility Data**: Converted to volumetric susceptibility and compared against known mineral susceptibility ranges.

- **NMR Data**: Analyzed using T1-T2 relaxation maps to differentiate between mineral-bound and free fluids.

- **Electromagnetic Data**: Processed using inversion algorithms to determine mineral conductivity and magnetic susceptibility profiles.

Advanced statistical and machine learning techniques were employed to correlate magnetic field responses with mineralogical compositions obtained from conventional methods.

3.4 Comparison with Conventional Mineral Identification Methods

To validate the effectiveness of magnetic field techniques, results were compared with traditional mineral identification methods:

- **X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)**: Used as a benchmark for determining mineral compositions.

- **Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)**: Provided high-resolution imaging of mineral structures.

- **Petrographic Analysis**: Conducted under polarized light microscopy for mineral identification.

Key Comparisons and Validation Criteria:

- **Accuracy**: Degree of correlation between magnetic field results and conventional techniques.

- **Time Efficiency**: Speed of analysis compared to traditional laboratory methods.

- **Non-Destructiveness**: Effectiveness of magnetic techniques in preserving sample integrity.

✧ **Field Applicability**: Potential for real-time or in-situ mineral identification.

The results from this methodology provide a comprehensive evaluation of how magnetic field techniques enhance mineral identification in reservoir rocks, supporting their integration into reservoir characterization workflows.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Analysis of Magnetic Susceptibility Data and Its Correlation with Mineral Composition

The measured magnetic susceptibility values provided critical insights into the mineral composition of the reservoir rock samples. The data revealed distinct variations in susceptibility across different rock types, enabling differentiation between paramagnetic, diamagnetic, and ferromagnetic minerals.

- ✓ **Sandstone Samples**: Exhibited low to moderate magnetic susceptibility, primarily due to the presence of quartz and feldspar (diamagnetic) with minor iron-bearing minerals such as hematite and magnetite.

- ✓ **Carbonate Rocks**: Displayed predominantly diamagnetic behavior due to calcite and dolomite, with localized variations in susceptibility attributed to impurities such as iron oxides.

- ✓ **Shale Samples**: Demonstrated the highest variability, with some samples exhibiting strong paramagnetic responses due to clay minerals (e.g., illite, chlorite) and iron-bearing phases.

Correlation with Mineral Composition

Comparative analysis with X-ray diffraction (XRD) confirmed a strong correlation between magnetic susceptibility measurements and the presence of iron-rich minerals. Rocks with higher iron content (hematite, magnetite, pyrrhotite) exhibited increased susceptibility, while those dominated by non-magnetic minerals (quartz, calcite) showed lower values. This correlation validates the effectiveness of magnetic susceptibility as a rapid, non-destructive technique for preliminary mineral identification in reservoir rocks.

4.2 Effectiveness of NMR in Differentiating Mineral Phases and Pore Structures

- Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) measurements provided valuable insights into both the mineral matrix and pore structures within the reservoir rocks.

- **Mineral Phase Differentiation**: NMR relaxation times (T1 and T2) were sensitive to the presence of paramagnetic minerals, which influence proton relaxation rates. Samples with iron-bearing minerals exhibited shorter relaxation times, while those composed of quartz and calcite had longer relaxation times.

Pore Structure Analysis:

- ✧ Sandstone samples displayed well-defined bimodal T2 distributions, indicating the presence of both macropores and micropores.

- ✧ Carbonate rocks showed heterogeneous T2 distributions, reflecting complex pore networks influenced by diagenetic processes.

- ✧ Shales exhibited predominantly short T2 relaxation times, indicative of nanometer-scale pores and significant clay-bound water content.

These findings demonstrate that NMR not only aids in mineral differentiation but also provides critical information about reservoir rock porosity and fluid distribution, which are essential for hydrocarbon recovery assessments.

4.3 Contributions of Electromagnetic Induction Techniques in Mineral Mapping

Electromagnetic (EM) induction techniques proved highly effective in detecting variations in mineral composition and conductivity within the rock samples.

Conductivity and Magnetic Response Mapping:

- ■ High conductivity values were associated with iron-rich minerals, allowing clear differentiation of mineralized zones.

- ■ Low conductivity regions correlated with non-metallic minerals such as quartz and calcite.

- **Detection of Clay-Rich Zones**: EM responses successfully identified clay-rich zones in shale samples, helping to distinguish between productive and non-productive formations.

- **Subsurface Mineral Variability**: The technique demonstrated strong potential for in-situ applications, providing real-time assessments of mineral distribution without requiring direct sample extraction.

- The integration of EM techniques with magnetic susceptibility and NMR enhances mineral identification accuracy and enables more effective reservoir characterization.

4.4 Comparative Assessment with Traditional Methods

To evaluate the reliability of magnetic field techniques, results were compared with conventional mineral identification methods such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and petrographic analysis.

Technique Key Advantages Limitations

- Magnetic Susceptibility Fast, non-destructive, differentiates iron-bearing minerals Limited in distinguishing minerals with similar susceptibilities

- NMR Provides mineral and fluid information, sensitive to pore structure Requires fluid-saturated samples for optimal results

- Electromagnetic Induction Effective for field applications, detects conductivity variations Less effective for minerals with low electrical conductivity

- XRD High precision in mineral identification Time-consuming, requires sample preparation

- SEM High-resolution imaging of mineral structures Expensive and destructive

- Petrography Detailed mineralogical insights through microscopy Subjective interpretation, time-intensive

The comparative analysis indicates that while traditional methods remain indispensable for precise mineral characterization, magnetic field techniques offer significant advantages in terms of speed, field applicability, and non-destructive analysis.

4.5 Advantages and Limitations of Integrating Magnetic Techniques for Mineral Identification

Advantages:

✔ Rapid Analysis: Magnetic susceptibility and EM induction techniques allow for quick mineral differentiation, reducing analysis time.

✔ **Non-Destructive**: Unlike XRD and SEM, these methods do not require sample destruction, preserving rock integrity.

✔ **Field Applicability**: Electromagnetic techniques enable real-time, in-situ mineral identification, improving decision-making in exploration and drilling.

✔ **Enhanced Mineral Differentiatio**n: Combining susceptibility, NMR, and EM methods provides a more comprehensive characterization of reservoir rocks.

Limitations:

✖ **Sensitivity to Sample Composition**: Some minerals exhibit overlapping susceptibility values, requiring supplementary methods for precise identification.

✖ **Dependence on External Conditions**: Variations in temperature, fluid content, and lithology can influence magnetic and NMR responses.

✖ **Instrumentation Costs**: High-precision magnetic and NMR instruments require significant investment, limiting accessibility in some field operations.

5. Applications in Reservoir Evaluation

5.1 Implications for Hydrocarbon Exploration and Production

Accurate mineral identification is essential for optimizing hydrocarbon exploration and production strategies. The integration of magnetic field techniques provides critical insights into reservoir properties, enhancing decision-making at various stages of reservoir development.

Reservoir Quality Assessment:

- ✓ Magnetic susceptibility data helps identify iron-bearing minerals that influence permeability and fluid flow.

- ✓ NMR analysis provides information on porosity and fluid distribution, aiding in reservoir productivity evaluation.

Enhanced Hydrocarbon Recovery:

- ◆ Differentiation of clay minerals using electromagnetic induction assists in selecting appropriate drilling fluids and completion techniques.

- ◆ Magnetic techniques contribute to improved reservoir modeling by refining input parameters for fluid flow simulations.

Risk Reduction in Exploration:

- Early identification of mineralogical barriers, such as cemented zones or clay-rich layers, prevents drilling complications.

- Integration with geophysical surveys improves subsurface imaging, reducing exploration uncertainty.

By leveraging magnetic field techniques, geoscientists and engineers can optimize well placement, enhance recovery efficiency, and minimize exploration risks.

5.2 Integration of Magnetic Techniques in Petrophysical Analysis

Petrophysical analysis plays a crucial role in reservoir characterization, and the incorporation of magnetic techniques enhances its accuracy and efficiency.

Magnetic Susceptibility in Lithology Differentiation:

- Helps distinguish between quartz-rich, carbonate-rich, and clay-dominated formations.

- Assists in identifying diagenetic alterations that impact rock properties.

NMR for Pore Network Characterization:

- Differentiates between movable and bound fluids, refining permeability predictions.

- Provides insights into pore size distributions, influencing enhanced oil recovery (EOR) strategies.

Electromagnetic Induction in Formation Evaluation:

✧ Detects conductive minerals and fluid-filled fractures, improving reservoir heterogeneity assessment.

✧ Aids in mapping hydrocarbon saturation levels by distinguishing between water- and oil-filled zones.

The integration of magnetic techniques with conventional petrophysical methods enhances reservoir characterization, reducing uncertainties in hydrocarbon evaluation.

5.3 Potential Use in Real-Time Drilling and Reservoir Monitoring

One of the most promising applications of magnetic field techniques is their potential for real-time reservoir monitoring and drilling optimization.

Real-Time Drilling Optimization:

- ✓ Magnetic susceptibility sensors can be incorporated into logging-while-drilling (LWD) tools to provide continuous mineral identification.

- ✓ Helps in geosteering by detecting formation changes and adjusting well trajectories accordingly.

- **Reservoir Surveillance and Monitoring:**
- NMR and electromagnetic sensors can be used in downhole tools to track fluid movement over time.

- Monitoring changes in magnetic properties assists in detecting reservoir depletion and fluid migration.

- Improved Formation Evaluation in Unconventional Reservoirs:

- Magnetic field techniques aid in distinguishing organic-rich shales from non-productive layers.

- Contribute to optimizing hydraulic fracturing strategies by identifying mineralogical variations.

The ability to integrate magnetic techniques into real-time workflows enhances operational efficiency, reducing costs and improving reservoir management.

6. Conclusion

The integration of magnetic field techniques for mineral identification in reservoir rocks represents a significant advancement in reservoir characterization and hydrocarbon exploration. By utilizing magnetic susceptibility, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), and electromagnetic induction methods, researchers and industry professionals can achieve a more accurate, rapid, and non-destructive assessment of mineral compositions in subsurface formations.

The study demonstrated that magnetic susceptibility effectively differentiates paramagnetic, diamagnetic, and ferromagnetic minerals, providing valuable insights into lithology and reservoir quality. NMR analysis not only aids in mineral phase differentiation but also plays a crucial role in pore structure characterization, enhancing fluid distribution and permeability assessments. Electromagnetic induction techniques further contribute to subsurface mineral mapping, enabling real-time detection of conductive minerals and hydrocarbon-bearing zones.

A comparative evaluation with conventional mineral identification methods, such as X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), highlights the complementary nature of magnetic field techniques. While traditional methods offer high precision, they are often time-consuming and require sample destruction. In contrast, magnetic field techniques provide rapid, field-applicable solutions that can enhance real-time reservoir evaluation and decision-making.

The application of these techniques in petrophysical analysis, drilling optimization, and reservoir monitoring further underscores their potential in the oil and gas industry. Their integration into logging-while-drilling (LWD) and downhole monitoring systems enables continuous formation evaluation, improving geosteering and production strategies.

Despite their numerous advantages, challenges such as overlapping magnetic susceptibility values, sensitivity to external conditions, and high instrumentation costs must be addressed through continued research and technological advancements. Future developments in data processing algorithms, sensor miniaturization, and hybrid analytical approaches will further enhance the applicability of magnetic field techniques in reservoir studies.

REFERENCES

1. Kazak, E. S., & Kazak, A. V. (2019). A novel laboratory method for reliable water content determination of shale reservoir rocks. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 183, 106301.

2. Akbar, Mahmood, Badarinadh Vissapragada, Ali H. Alghamdi, David Allen, Michael Herron, Andrew Carnegie, Dhruba Dutta et al. "A snapshot of carbonate reservoir evaluation." Oilfield review 12, no. 4 (2000): 20-21.

3. Akbar, M., Vissapragada, B., Alghamdi, A. H., Allen, D., Herron, M., Carnegie, A., ... & Saxena, K. (2000). A snapshot of carbonate reservoir evaluation. Oilfield review, 12(4), 20-21.

4. Akbar, Mahmood, et al. "A snapshot of carbonate reservoir evaluation." Oilfield review 12.4 (2000): 20-21.

5. Akbar, M., Vissapragada, B., Alghamdi, A.H., Allen, D., Herron, M., Carnegie, A., Dutta, D., Olesen, J.R., Chourasiya, R.D., Logan, D. and Stief, D., 2000. A snapshot of carbonate reservoir evaluation. Oilfield review, 12(4), pp.20-21.

6. Akbar M, Vissapragada B, Alghamdi AH, Allen D, Herron M, Carnegie A, Dutta D, Olesen JR, Chourasiya RD, Logan D, Stief D. A snapshot of carbonate reservoir evaluation. Oilfield review. 2000 Dec;12(4):20-1.

7. Jellah, A. I. Mineralogy Identification In Reservoir Rock Samples Using Low And High Field Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements On Synthetic Samples.

8. Jellah, Alfitouri I. "Mineralogy Identification In Reservoir Rock Samples Using Low And High Field Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements On Synthetic Samples."

9. Chan, S. (2024b). The influence of reflective screen color and surface film treatment for Single-Sided holographic projection on imaging quality. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 1.https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021101

10. Hmda, G., & Smaili, I. (2024b). Design and Implementation of a Smart Energy Meter System using the Internet of Things. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 12.https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021102

11. Smaili, I. (2024b). Design and implementation of a Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM)-based smart Energy Meter. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 23.https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021103

12. Subeshan, B., Ali, Z., & Asmatulu, E. (2024b). METAL ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING IN SPACE AND AEROSPACE EXPLORATION: CURRENT PROCESSES, MATERIAL SELECTION AND CHALLENGES. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 35.https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021104

13. Perumal, U. (2024c). Virtual reality technology for early detection and diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 58https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021105

14. Jellah, A. I., Alabedi, O. M., & Anweer, M. A. G. Water Flooding Dsign for a Libyan Oil Field: Amal field-"Case Study".

15. Jellah, Alfitouri Ibrahim, Osama Mahmood Alabedi, and Mabrouk Al-Ghnai Anweer. "Water Flooding Dsign for a Libyan Oil Field: Amal field-"Case Study"."

16. Jellah, A. I. History Matching By Numerical Simulation for Undersaturated Oil Reservoir.

17. Upadhyay, R. K. (2025). Exploration of Mineral Resources. In Geology and Mineral Resources (pp. 655-723). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

18. Yin, Yechang, Jun Chen, Zhonghai Zhao, Yuanjiang Yang, Chenglu Li, Haina Li, and Xiang Zhao. "Integrated geophysical prospecting for deep ore detection in the Yongxin gold mining area, Heilongjiang, China." Scientific Reports 15, no. 1 (2025): 7258.

19. Eldosouky, Ahmed M., M. Eleraki, Aya Mansour, Saada A. Saada, and Sara Zamzam. "Geological controls of mineralization occurrences in the Egyptian Eastern Desert using advanced integration of remote sensing and magnetic data." Scientific Reports 14, no. 1 (2024): 16700.

20. Xu, Kaijun, and Yaoguo Li. "Integrated interpretation of gravity, magnetic, seismic, and well data to image volcanic units for oil-gas exploration in the eastern Junggar Basin, northwest China." Interpretation 8.4 (2020): SS113-SS127.

21. Afshar, A., Norouzi, G.H. and Moradzadeh, A., 2023. Exploring geothermal potential through multi-modal geophysical data integration: gravity, magnetic, and magnetotelluric prospecting. International Journal of Mining and Geo-Engineering, 57(4), pp.427-434.

22. Afshar, Ahmad, Gholam Hossain Norouzi, and Ali Moradzadeh. "Exploring geothermal potential through multi-modal geophysical data integration: gravity, magnetic, and magnetotelluric prospecting." International Journal of Mining and Geo-Engineering 57, no. 4 (2023): 427-434.

23. Liu, J. (2023). Application of Electromagnetic Field Forward and Inversion in Geophysics.

24. Haritha, K. (2023). A review of recent advancements in geophysical technologies and their implications for mineral and hydrocarbon exploration. ASEAN Journal for Science and Engineering in Materials, 2(2), 95-108.

25. Farhi, Walid, Ammar Boudella, Hakim Saibi, and Mohand Ou Abdallah Bounif. "Integration of magnetic, gravity, and well data in imaging subsurface geology in the Ksar Hirane region (Laghouat, Algeria)." Journal of African Earth Sciences 124 (2016): 63-74.

26. Kianoush, Pooria, et al. "Geobody estimation by Bhattacharyya method utilizing nonlinear inverse modeling of magnetic data in Baba-Ali iron deposit, NW Iran." Heliyon 9.11 (2023).

28. Rashid, Muhammad, Miao Luo, Umar Ashraf, Wakeel Hussain, Nafees Ali, Nosheen Rahman, Sartaj Hussain, Dmitriy Aleksandrovich Martyushev, Hung Vo Thanh, and Aqsa Anees. "Reservoir quality prediction of gas-bearing carbonate sediments in the Qadirpur field: Insights from advanced machine learning approaches of SOM and cluster analysis." Minerals 13, no. 1 (2022): 29.

29. Pillai, A. S. (2022). Multi-label chest X-ray classification via deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.14929.

30. Pillai, Aravind Sasidharan. "A natural language processing approach to grouping students by shared interests." Journal of Empirical Social Science Studies 6, no. 1 (2022): 1-16.

31. Penmetsa, Sahil Varma. "Forework: A Real-Time, Distributed Digital Forensic Analysis Framework." In 2024 32nd National Conference with International Participation (TELECOM), pp. 1-6. IEEE, 2024.

32. Penmetsa, S. V. (2024, November). Forework: A Real-Time, Distributed Digital Forensic Analysis Framework. In 2024 32nd National Conference with International Participation (TELECOM) (pp. 1-6). IEEE