Viable and Secure Fusion Energy: A Review of Recent Advances in Plasma Control, Materials Science, and Non-Proliferation Safeguards

Abstract

Nuclear fusion offers the prospect of a carbon-free, inherently safe, and virtually inexhaustible energy source, but its realization is contingent upon surmounting significant scientific and engineering challenges.[1] This review synthesizes recent academic research to evaluate the viability of fusion energy, focusing on three critical and interconnected domains: plasma stability and control, materials science for extreme environments, and the development of a robust non-proliferation framework. We examine the transformative impact of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) on plasma control, particularly in the prediction and mitigation of disruptive events, and the use of Explainable AI (XAI) to build trust in these systems.[3] Concurrently, we assess advances in materials science, including the development of self-healing liquid metal components and novel solutions for the tritium fuel cycle, which are essential for long-term reactor resilience and fuel self-sufficiency.[4] Finally, we analyze the non-proliferation landscape, detailing technical safeguards and international governance structures designed to mitigate the risks of fissile material production and tritium diversion, ensuring fusion's development remains exclusively peaceful.[6] We conclude that while formidable challenges persist, the synergistic progress across these domains charts a credible, albeit complex, path toward the realization of safe, secure, and commercially viable fusion power.

1. Introduction

The global imperative to transition to low-carbon energy sources has intensified the search for a sustainable, baseload power solution capable of meeting escalating global demand.[8] Nuclear fusion, the process that powers the sun and stars, represents a leading candidate to meet this need, promising abundant energy from readily available fuels like deuterium and tritium with no carbon emissions and no long-lived radioactive waste.[9] The most technologically feasible approach involves the deuterium-tritium (D-T) reaction, which releases approximately 17.6 MeV of energy per event.[1]

However, harnessing this power on Earth requires achieving and sustaining extraordinary conditions: heating fuel to temperatures exceeding 100 million degrees Celsius, confining the resulting plasma at sufficient density, and maintaining these conditions for long enough to achieve a net energy gain, a threshold famously defined by the Lawson criterion.[9] The journey from scientific principle to a commercially viable power plant is therefore predicated on solving a nexus of grand challenges that span plasma physics, materials science, and international security.

This review provides a comprehensive analysis of the current state of fusion research, focusing on the key advances that are paving the way toward viability. We structure our analysis around three central pillars that define the frontier of fusion development:

1. **Plasma Stability and Control:** The challenge of maintaining a stable, high-performance burning plasma and avoiding catastrophic disruptions that can damage the reactor.[13]
2. **Materials and Engineering:** The development of structural and plasma-facing materials capable of withstanding the extreme heat and neutron flux of the fusion environment, alongside the engineering of a self-sustaining tritium fuel cycle.[4]
3. **Safety and Non-Proliferation:** The implementation of a robust framework of technical safeguards and international governance to ensure that fusion technology is used exclusively for peaceful purposes and does not contribute to the proliferation of nuclear weapons.[6]

By examining the academic literature on the latest progress in these three domains, this article aims to provide a holistic assessment of the pathways and remaining obstacles to realizing fusion energy.

2. Advances in Plasma Stability and Control: The Rise of AI and Digital Twins

The core of a magnetic confinement fusion reactor, such as a tokamak, is a toroidal plasma heated to extreme temperatures.[2] A primary obstacle to sustained operation is the occurrence of plasma disruptions—catastrophic instabilities that lead to a rapid loss of confinement and can inflict severe thermal and electromagnetic stress on the reactor's internal components.[18] For future large-scale devices like ITER, a single major disruption could cause terminal damage, making disruption avoidance and mitigation a critical area of research.[14] Recent years have seen a paradigm shift in this domain, driven by the application of advanced computational techniques.

2.1 AI-Driven Disruption Prediction and Transferable Models

Machine learning has emerged as a key tool for predicting disruptions with sufficient warning time to activate mitigation systems.[18] A wide range of supervised learning algorithms, including support vector machines (SVMs), random forests, and various neural network architectures, have been successfully developed on existing tokamaks like JET, DIII-D, and EAST to predict disruptive events.[19]

Deep learning methods, particularly those combining Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) like Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), have proven especially effective. These models can learn relevant spatiotemporal features directly from raw 1-D plasma profiles (e.g., temperature and density) and 0-D signals, avoiding the need for manual feature engineering.[21]

A crucial challenge for future reactors like ITER is that they will have very few high-performance disruptive discharges from which to train a robust prediction model.[21] This has spurred the development of

transferable models. The underlying physics of disruptions are expected to be common across different tokamaks, even if their operational parameters vary.[21] Transfer learning leverages this by training a deep feature extractor on a large dataset from an existing device (e.g., J-TEXT) to learn the general signatures of impending disruptions. This pre-trained model can then be transferred to a new device (e.g., EAST) and fine-tuned with a very small number of local discharges, achieving a predictive accuracy comparable to models trained on thousands of discharges from the target machine.[21] This approach represents a promising path for developing reliable disruption predictors for ITER and future power plants before they accumulate significant operational experience.

2.2 Explainable AI (XAI) for Building Trust and Physical Insight

A significant barrier to the adoption of ML models in safety-critical systems is their "black box" nature, where the reasoning behind a prediction is not transparent.[23] To address this, researchers are increasingly applying eXplainable AI (XAI) techniques to interpret the behavior of disruption prediction networks.[3]

XAI algorithms provide a comprehensible interface between the human operator and the AI, often by generating heatmaps that highlight which parts of the input data were most influential in a model's decision.[3] Techniques like

saliency maps, which calculate the gradient of the network's output with respect to its input pixels, can visualize the regions of a plasma profile (e.g., temperature, radiation) that the CNN is focusing on when it raises an alarm.[22] Studies have shown that these XAI-generated heatmaps correlate strongly with known physical precursors. For instance, when predicting disruptions caused by edge cooling, the saliency maps highlight the outer regions of the temperature profile, whereas for disruptions caused by temperature hollowing, the focus shifts to the plasma core.[22] This ability to link the AI's "reasoning" to physical phenomena is crucial for building trust and verifying that the model is learning genuine physics rather than spurious correlations.[3]

2.3 Digital Twins for Integrated Simulation and Operational Optimization

The complexity of a fusion reactor, with its tightly coupled subsystems, necessitates a holistic approach to design and operation. The concept of a **Digital Twin (DT)** is emerging as a transformative platform for this purpose.[24] A digital twin is a virtual replica of a physical system that integrates engineering design, physics simulations, and real-time operational data into a single, interactive environment.[24]

For tokamaks like KSTAR and ITER, virtual platforms are being developed to create a dynamic feedback loop between the physical reactor and its digital counterpart.[24] These platforms can ingest continuous diagnostic data to refine predictive models, optimize reactor performance, and validate operational scenarios *in silico* before they are attempted in the real machine.[24] By unifying high-performance computing, AI-driven surrogate models, and advanced visualization, digital twins serve as living platforms that guide both present operations and future reactor designs, accelerating the path to commercial fusion energy.[24]

3. Advances in Materials Science: Towards Self-Sustaining and Resilient Components

The environment inside a fusion reactor is one of the most extreme imaginable, presenting unprecedented challenges for materials science.[4] Components facing the plasma must withstand intense heat fluxes, bombardment by high-energy neutrons (14.1 MeV from the D-T reaction), and exposure to the plasma itself, all while maintaining structural integrity for decades.[1]

3.1 Self-Healing Materials: The Liquid Metal Divertor Concept

The divertor is the reactor's exhaust system, responsible for removing heat, helium ash, and other impurities from the plasma.[30] It is subjected to the most intense heat and particle loads in the machine.[32] While solid materials like tungsten are the baseline choice for ITER due to their high melting point and low erosion [33], they suffer from issues like cracking and neutron-induced embrittlement.[32]

An innovative solution gaining significant traction is the use of **liquid metals (LMs)**, such as lithium or tin, in divertor designs.[33] LMs offer the unique property of being

self-healing.[35] When the surface is damaged or eroded by plasma interaction, the flowing liquid can continuously replenish it, effectively eliminating permanent damage and dramatically extending the component's lifetime.[35] Furthermore, the evaporation of the liquid metal during high heat flux events can create a vapor cloud that radiates energy away, protecting the underlying solid structure from catastrophic melting.[35] Concepts like the Capillary Porous System (CPS), where the liquid metal is held within a porous tungsten structure by capillary forces, are being developed to prevent splashing and ensure stability in the strong magnetic fields of a tokamak.[40]

3.2 The Tritium Fuel Cycle and Breeding Blankets

The D-T fuel cycle presents a unique engineering challenge: tritium is a radioactive isotope with a short half-life of 12.3 years and is not naturally abundant.[42] The world's total supply is estimated to be only around 50 kg, whereas a 1 GWe power plant would consume approximately 400 grams per day.[43] Therefore, for fusion to be a sustainable energy source, each power plant must be a net producer of its own fuel in a closed cycle.[5]

This is achieved through a **breeding blanket**, a component surrounding the plasma that contains lithium.[1] Neutrons produced by the D-T fusion reaction are captured by lithium atoms in the blanket, transmuting them into tritium and helium.[1] The central design goal is to achieve a Tritium Breeding Ratio (TBR) greater than one, meaning more than one tritium atom is produced for every one consumed in the plasma.[6] Achieving a sufficient TBR while accounting for losses and system inefficiencies is a critical area of ongoing research and development, essential for the long-term viability of fusion power.[6]

4. A Framework for Non-Proliferation by Design

A fundamental prerequisite for the global deployment of fusion energy is the assurance that it will be used exclusively for peaceful purposes and will not increase the risk of nuclear weapons proliferation.[6] While fusion is inherently safer than fission, as a runaway chain reaction is impossible [8], the intense neutron flux from the D-T reaction presents two primary proliferation risks: the production of fissile materials and the diversion of tritium.[6] A robust framework of technical safeguards and international governance is being developed to address these risks.

4.1 Mitigating Fissile Material Production

The 14.1 MeV neutrons from a D-T fusion reaction can be used to transmute fertile materials like uranium-238 or thorium-232 into weapon-usable fissile materials, namely plutonium-239 and uranium-233, respectively.[6] The risk can be categorized into three scenarios: clandestine production, covert production in a declared facility, and a breakout scenario.[6]

- **Clandestine Production:** The risk of operating a power-producing fusion reactor clandestinely is considered not credible. The immense scale, power consumption (hundreds of megawatts), cooling requirements, and environmental signatures (e.g., tritium traces) of such a facility would make it easily detectable by satellite imagery and other monitoring techniques.[6]
- **Covert Production:** To prevent the covert introduction of fertile materials into a declared and safeguarded facility, a multi-layered approach is envisioned. This includes on-site inspections to verify design information, sensitive environmental sampling to detect any traces of nuclear materials, and unattended monitoring systems, such as gamma detectors, that can identify the characteristic signatures of fertile or fissile materials, which should not be present in a civilian fusion plant.[6]
- **Breakout Scenario:** A key advantage of fusion over fission is that a fusion power plant contains no weapon-usable material at the time of a potential breakout.[6] The time required to introduce fertile material, operate the reactor to produce a significant quantity (8 kg) of fissile material, and then reprocess it is estimated to be at least one to two months.[6] This provides a significant window for a diplomatic or international response. Furthermore, fusion plants rely on massive, non-nuclear support systems (power, cryogenics) that can be disabled to halt operations without risk of nuclear contamination.[6]

4.2 Managing Tritium Diversion

Tritium is an essential component in modern nuclear weapons, used to "boost" the yield of fission devices.[42] While a fusion power plant will handle large inventories of tritium (kilograms), only a few grams are needed for a weapon.[7] This makes tritium accountancy a significant safeguards challenge.[7] Improving the technology for accurately tracking tritium inventory—how much is burned, held up in components, and released—is a critical area for R&D.[7] The principle of

"safeguards by design" is paramount, meaning that robust measures for tritium monitoring, containment, and surveillance must be integrated into the reactor design from the earliest stages.[7]

4.3 The Role of International Governance and Data Standards

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) is actively engaged in developing safety standards and regulatory frameworks tailored to the unique characteristics of fusion energy.[10] This involves adapting relevant requirements from the fission industry while recognizing the different risk profile of fusion.[10] Large-scale international collaborations, epitomized by the

ITER project, are crucial for sharing the immense cost and complexity of fusion development and for establishing global norms for safety and security.[50]

To accelerate this global collaboration, the fusion community is increasingly adopting the **FAIR Guiding Principles** (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) for data management.[55] By ensuring that the vast datasets from fusion experiments are described with rich metadata, assigned persistent identifiers (like DOIs), and stored in interoperable formats, the FAIR principles facilitate machine-actionable data sharing, which is essential for training AI models and validating simulations across the international research community.[56]

5. Synthesis and Future Outlook

The pursuit of clean, sustainable energy through nuclear fusion is at a pivotal moment. The analysis of recent academic literature reveals that significant progress is being made on the three critical fronts that determine its ultimate viability: plasma control, materials science, and non-proliferation. The application of AI and digital twins is revolutionizing the ability to predict and control plasma behavior, while innovations in self-healing materials and tritium breeding offer credible solutions to long-standing engineering hurdles.

Crucially, these advances are being developed in parallel with a robust framework for safety and non-proliferation, guided by international bodies like the IAEA. The principle of "safeguards by design" ensures that security is not an afterthought but an integral part of the engineering process.

The path to a commercial fusion power plant remains long and challenging, requiring continued international collaboration and investment.[8] However, the synergistic advancements across these interconnected fields suggest that the scientific and engineering foundations for a future powered by safe and secure fusion energy are firmly being established. The continued integration of these research frontiers, facilitated by open data standards and global cooperation, will be the key to unlocking this transformative energy source for the benefit of humanity.

References

[55] Wilkinson, M. D. et al. (2016). The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship.

Sci. Data 3:160018. [57]

[56] GO FAIR. "FAIR Principles". Available:

https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/ [56]

[57] European Commission. "Guidelines on FAIR Data Management in Horizon 2020". [57]

[61] "Workshop on Scientific Knowledge Graphs (SKG2020)". Available:

https://sci-k.github.io/SKG2020/ [61]

[9] IAEA. "What is Fusion and Why Is It So Difficult to Achieve".

IAEA Bulletin. Available:
https://www.iaea.org/bulletin/what-is-fusion-and-why-is-it-so-difficult-to-achieve [9]

[1] World Nuclear Association. "Nuclear Fusion Power". Available:

https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/current-and-future-generation/nuclear-fusion-power.aspx [1]

[8] NHSJS. "Nuclear Fusion: Overview of Challenges and Recent Progress". (2024).

[50] IAEA. "30th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference (FEC2025)". Available:

https://www.iaea.org/events/fec2025
62 Boozer, A. H. (2012). "Theory of tokamak disruptions".
Physics of Plasmas, 19(5), 058101.
19 Croonen, J., Amaya, J., & Lapenta, G. (2020). "Tokamak disruption prediction using different machine learning techniques".
arXiv:2005.05139. [18]

[21] Zheng, W. et al. (2022). "Transferable Cross-Tokamak Disruption Prediction with Deep Hybrid Neural Network Feature Extractor".

arXiv:2208.09594. [21]

[18] Kurihara, K. et al. (2010). "Plasma control systems relevant to ITER and fusion power plants".

Journal of Plasma and Fusion Research SERIES, vol. 9. [62]

[3] Papa, T., et al. (2024). "eXplainable artificial intelligence applied to algorithms for disruption prediction in tokamak devices".

Frontiers in Physics. [3]

[22] Papa, T., et al. (2024). "eXplainable artificial intelligence applied to algorithms for disruption prediction in tokamak devices".

Frontiers in Physics. [22]

[58] STFC Hartree Centre. "What is FAIR data and why is it important for fusion energy research?". (2024). [58]

[24] Nemytov, V. et al. "Recurrent neural network-based digital twin of ST40 tokamak dynamics".

IAEA FEC 2023. [25]

[25] Kim, J. et al. (2024). "Progress in Digital Twin Development of Virtual Tokamak Platform".

ResearchGate. [24]

[26] "Visualizing digital twins of fusion power plants using NVIDIA Omniverse".

AIP Advances. (2024). [28]

[27] "Digital Twin for Fusion Energy".

NVIDIA Omniverse. [26]

[28] ABB. "Digital twins and simulations".

ABB Review. (2019). [69]

[33] W. R. Wampler, et al. (2009). "Materials for the plasma-facing components of fusion reactors".

Journal of Nuclear Materials. [32]

[32] Majeski, R. et al. (2016). "Present status of liquid metal research for a fusion reactor".

ResearchGate. [39]

[29] C. L. P. et al. (2024). "Data-Driven Screening of Plasma-Facing Materials for Fusion Energy Applications".

PRX Energy. [32]

[30] C. L. P. et al. (2024). "Data-Driven Screening of Plasma-Facing Materials for Fusion Energy Applications".

PRX Energy. [32]

[34] Fisher, A. E., Sun, Z., & Kolemen, E. "Liquid metal “divertorlets” concept for fusion reactors". [40]

[6] Glaser, A., & Goldston, R. J. (2012). "Proliferation risks of magnetic fusion energy: Clandestine production, covert production, and breakout".

Nuclear Fusion, 52(4). [6]

[35] "Present status of liquid metal research for a fusion reactor".

CIEMAT. [41]

[36] "Chemical compatibility between liquid metal tin and a structural material for fusion

reactors".

National Institute for Fusion Science. (2022). [36]

[37] UKAEA. "Self-healing fusion components could lead to better reactor designs". (2020). [35]

[38] UKAEA. "Self-healing fusion components could lead to better reactor designs". (2020). [35]

[39] "Present status of liquid metal research for a fusion reactor".

CIEMAT. [39]

[40] "Flowing liquid metals for plasma-facing components in magnetic fusion".

OSTI.gov. [37]

[41] "Flowing liquid metals for plasma-facing components in magnetic fusion".

OSTI.gov. [37]

[11] Glaser, A., & Goldston, R. J. (2012). "Proliferation risks of magnetic fusion energy".

MIT. [6]

[7] Goldston, R. J. "Fusion Safeguards".

U.S. Department of Energy. (2022). [7]

[42] Kobayashi, Y. "Tritium and Nuclear Weapons".

Sasakawa Peace Foundation. (2023). [42]

[51] Holbraad, L. E. (2021). "The ways and means of ITER: reciprocity and compromise in fusion".

Global Policy. [52]

[52] "What is ITER?".

ITER Organization. [53]

[2] Ongena, J. et al. (2016). "Review of the advancements and challenges in the field of

nuclear fusion".

E3S Web of Conferences. [8]

[4] IAEA. "Closing fusion's materials and technology gaps".

IAEA Bulletin. (2021).
5 Proxima Fusion. "Fueling our star on Earth: The tritium challenge explained". (2025).
[10] IAEA. "Safety in Fusion".

IAEA Bulletin. [10]

[12] World Nuclear Association. "Nuclear Fusion Power". [1]

[13] IAEA. "What is Fusion and Why Is It So Difficult to Achieve".

IAEA Bulletin. [9]

[14] Howard, S. (2018). "The impact of plasma physics on the timescale to a tokamak fusion".

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A.
15 Boozer, A. H. (2012). "Theory of tokamak disruptions".
Physics of Plasmas.
16 D. G. Whyte, et al. (2009). "Modeling plasma facing materials for fusion power".
Materials Today. [29]

[17] Glaser, A., & Goldston, R. J. (2021). "Proliferation risks of magnetic fusion energy".

ResearchGate.
23 Digwatch. "AI in science: Potential and risks". (2024). 23
[31] IAEA. "Closing fusion's materials and technology gaps".

IAEA Bulletin. (2021).
43 Proxima Fusion. "Fueling our star on Earth: The tritium challenge explained". (2025).
[44] Stayner, D. "The Proliferation Risk of Nuclear Fusion".

Stanford University. (2017). [11]

[45] Center for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation. "How Military Tritium Production in Civilian Reactors Can Further Nonproliferation Goals". (2023).

[46] IAEA. "Fusion Safety and Regulation Discussed at IAEA meeting in Granada". (2024).

46

[47] IAEA. "Safety in Fusion".

IAEA Bulletin. [10]

[48] IAEA. "IAEA hosts the first meeting focusing on safety and regulation of fusion". (2023). [48]

[49] IAEA. "New IAEA initiative to enhance fusion energy collaboration". (2023). [70]

[53] Global Policy Journal. "Big Science Collaborations: Lessons for Global Governance and Leadership". [51]

[54] Global Policy Journal. "Big Science Collaborations: Lessons for Global Governance and Leadership". [51]

[59] Reddit. "What are fusion's unsolved engineering challenges?".

r/fusion. [71]

[60] GAO. "Science & Tech Spotlight: Fusion Energy". (2023). [72]

[63] Fusion for Energy. "Technical Advisory Panel". [73]

[64] ITER Council. "ITER Technical Advisory Committee Meeting at Garching". (1999). [54]

[65] C. L. P. et al. (2024). "Data-Driven Screening of Plasma-Facing Materials for Fusion Energy Applications".

PRX Energy. [32]

[66] W. R. Wampler, et al. (2009). "Materials for the plasma-facing components of fusion reactors".

Journal of Nuclear Materials. [33]

[67] Fusion for Energy. "Technical Advisory Panel". [73]

Referências citadas

1. Nuclear Fusion Power, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/current-and-future-generation/nuclear-fusion-power

2. Nuclear Fusion: Overview of Challenges and Recent Progress - NHSJS, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://nhsjs.com/2024/nuclear-fusion-overview-of-challenges-and-recent-progress/
3. eXplainable artificial intelligence applied to algorithms for disruption prediction in tokamak devices - IRIS UniCa, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://iris.unica.it/retrieve/fa00ba46-e243-47d2-bf9e-72fb1c249a5a/eXplainable-artificial-intelligence-applied-to-algorithms-for-disruption-prediction-in-tokamak-devicesFrontiers-in-Physics.pdf
4. Progress and potential - arXiv, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2311.12187
5. The nuclear physics of why tritium is a challenge for fusion engineering - Nick's Blog, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://nickhawker.com/2023/01/22/the-nuclear-physics-of-why-tritium-is-a-challenge-for-fusion-engineering/
6. Proliferation Risks of Fusion Energy: Clandestine Production ... - MIT, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://web.mit.edu/fusion-fission/HybridsPubli/Fusion_Proliferation_Risks.pdf
7. Fusion Safeguards, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://science.osti.gov/-/media/fes/pdf/fes-presentations/2022/Goldston-Fusion-Safeguards.pdf
8. www.e3s-conferences.org, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/pdf/2024/70/e3sconf_icpes2024_13014.pdf
9. What is Fusion, and Why Is It So Difficult to Achieve? - International Atomic Energy Agency, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.iaea.org/bulletin/what-is-fusion-and-why-is-it-so-difficult-to-achieve
10. Safety in Fusion | IAEA, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.iaea.org/bulletin/safety-in-fusion
11. Is Nuclear Fusion a Proliferation Risk? - Stanford University, acessado em julho 10, 2025, http://large.stanford.edu/courses/2017/ph241/stayner1/
12. Nuclear fusion - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_fusion
13. Review of commercial nuclear fusion projects - Frontiers, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/energy-research/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2023.1157394/full
14. The impact of plasma physics on the timescale to a tokamak fusion power plant - PMC, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6365851/
15. Theory of tokamak disruptionsa) | Physics of Plasmas - AIP Publishing, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pop/article/19/5/058101/596952/Theory-of-tokamak-disruptionsa

16. Closing fusion's materials and technology gaps | IAEA, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.iaea.org/bulletin/closing-fusions-materials-and-technology-gaps
17. Proliferation risks of magnetic fusion energy: Clandestine production, covert production and breakout | Request PDF - ResearchGate, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254502924_Proliferation_risks_of_magnetic_fusion_energy_Clandestine_production_covert_production_and_breakout
18. Tokamak disruption prediction using different machine learning techniques - Lirias, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://lirias.kuleuven.be/retrieve/632678
19. Applications of machine learning for plasma control in fusion reactors - iaea-indico, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://conferences.iaea.org/event/281/contributions/24395/
20. Cross-Tokamak Deployment Study of Plasma Disruption Predictors Based on Convolutional Autoencoder - arXiv, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2311.10368
21. Transferable Cross-Tokamak Disruption Prediction with Deep ... - arXiv, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.09594
22. eXplainable artificial intelligence applied to algorithms for ... - Frontiers, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physics/articles/10.3389/fphy.2024.1359656/full
23. AI in science: Potential and risks | Digital Watch Observatory, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://dig.watch/updates/ai-in-science-potential-and-risks
24. Progress in Digital Twin Development of Virtual Tokamak Platform ..., acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380122561_Progress_in_Digital_Twin_Development_of_Virtual_Tokamak_Platform
25. Recurrent neural network-based digital twin of ST40 tokamak dynamics: building system insight into model architecture, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://conferences.iaea.org/event/335/contributions/28990/attachments/15728/26512/Poster_VN_ML_Vienna2023%20-%202%20-%20post-review.pdf
26. ai-machine learning-enabled tokamak digital twin - arXiv, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.03112
27. Digital Twin for Grey Box modeling of Multistory residential building thermal dynamics - arXiv, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.02909
28. Visualizing digital twins of fusion power plants using NVIDIA Omniverse - ResearchGate, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390595653_Visualizing_digital_twins_of_fusion_power_plants_using_NVIDIA_Omniverse
29. (PDF) Modeling plasma facing materials for fusion power - ResearchGate, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248556385_Modeling_plasma_facing_materials_for_fusion_power
30. Making it work - ITER, acessado em julho 10, 2025,

https://www.iter.org/fusion-energy/making-it-work
31. Materials to Be Used in Future Magnetic Confinement Fusion Reactors: A Review - PMC, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9572402/
32. Comprehensive Screening of Plasma-Facing Materials for Nuclear Fusion | PRX Energy, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PRXEnergy.3.043002
33. Materials for the plasma-facing components of fusion reactors - ResearchGate, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/223513827_Materials_for_the_plasma-facing_components_of_fusion_reactors
34. Fusion Key Elements - Scientific, technical publications in the nuclear field | IAEA - International Atomic Energy Agency, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/Publications/PDF/p15764-P2099E_web.pdf
35. Self-healing fusion components for better reactors - UKAEA Fusion ..., acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://ukaea.maglr.com/ukaea-newsletter-sept20/self-healing-fusion-components
36. Mitigating corrosion by liquid tin could lead to better cooling in fusion reactors, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.nifs.ac.jp/en/news/researches/221205e.html
37. Recent progress in the development of liquid metal plasma facing components for magnetic fusion devices (Journal Article) | OSTI.GOV, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.osti.gov/pages/biblio/2475835
38. Assessment of Liquid Metal as the Divertor Surface of a Fusion ..., acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://cer.ucsd.edu/_files/publications/UCSD-ENG-079.pdf
39. Present status of liquid metal research for a fusion reactor | Request PDF - ResearchGate, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283257915_Present_status_of_liquid_metal_research_for_a_fusion_reactor
40. Liquid metal “divertorlets” concept for fusion reactors, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://control.princeton.edu/assets/data/publications/pdfs/%5B71%5D%20AE%20Fisher%2C%20Z%20Sun%2C%20and%20E%20Kolemen.%20%E2%80%9CLiquid%20metal%20%E2%80%9Cdivertorlets%E2%80%9D%20concept%20for%20fusion%20reactors%E2%80%9D.pdf
41. REACTOR DIVERTOR DESIGNS BASED ON LIQUID METAL CONCEPTS - EUROfusion Scientific Publications, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://scipub.euro-fusion.org/wp-content/uploads/eurofusion/WPDTT2PR16_15478_submitted-2.pdf
42. Another Issue Concerning Tritium: Reflections on the Boundary Between Peaceful and Military Uses of Nuclear Energy | List of Articles, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.spf.org/iina/en/articles/yuki_kobayashi_09.html
43. Fueling Our Star on Earth: The Tritium Challenge Explained - Proxima Fusion, acessado em julho 10, 2025,

https://www.proximafusion.com/press-news/fueling-our-star-on-earth-the-tritium-challenge-explained
44. How Military Tritium Production in Civilian Reactors Can Further Non-proliferation Goals, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://armscontrolcenter.org/how-military-tritium-production-in-civilian-reactors-can-further-nonproliferation-goals/
45. Helion Tritium security risk : r/fusion - Reddit, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/fusion/comments/1hcdi6j/helion_tritium_security_risk/
46. Fusion Safety and Regulation Discussed at IAEA meeting in Granada, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/fusion-safety-and-regulation-discussed-at-iaea-meeting-in-granada
47. Long-term activation in fusion reactors - Isotopenphysik, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://isotopenphysik.univie.ac.at/en/research/long-term-activation-in-fusion-reactors/
48. IAEA hosts the First Meeting Focusing on Safety and Regulation of Fusion, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/iaea-hosts-the-first-meeting-focusing-on-safety-and-regulation-of-fusion
49. Nuclear fusion power, fusion energy research, ITER | IAEA, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.iaea.org/topics/fusion
50. (PDF) Plasma control in ITER - ResearchGate, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3207712_Plasma_control_in_ITER
51. Big Science Collaborations; Lessons for Global Governance and Leadership, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.globalpolicyjournal.com/articles/science-and-technology/big-science-collaborations-lessons-global-governance-and-leadership
52. The ways and means of ITER: reciprocity and compromise in fusion ..., acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07341512.2021.1891851
53. In a Few Lines - ITER, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.iter.org/few-lines
54. ITER MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE (MAC) MEETING IN NAKA - OSTI.GOV, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.osti.gov/etdeweb/servlets/purl/20095380
55. Preparing FAIR data for reuse and reproducibility - Cornell Data Services, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://data.research.cornell.edu/data-management/sharing/fair/
56. FAIR Principles, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/
57. How to make your data FAIR - OpenAIRE, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.openaire.eu/how-to-make-your-data-fair
58. What is FAIR data and why is it important for fusion energy research? - Hartree Centre, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.hartree.stfc.ac.uk/blog/2024/12/03/what-is-fair-data-and-why-is-it-i

mportant-for-fusion-energy-research/
59. The Geopolitics of Nuclear Fusion - Georgetown Security Studies Review, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://georgetownsecuritystudiesreview.org/2023/01/05/the-geopolitics-of-nuclear-fusion/
60. Geopolitical Implications of Fusion Energy, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.ecssr.ae/en/research-products/reports/2/200917
61. Scientific Knowledge Graph - Sci-K 2025, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://sci-k.github.io/SKG2020/
62. Plasma Control Systems Relevant to ITER and Fusion Power Plants - MPG.PuRe, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://pure.mpg.de/rest/items/item_2142731/component/file_2142730/content
63. ITER TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AT GARCHING by Prof. M. Fujiwara, TAG Chair XA9950313 - INIS-IAEA, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://inis.iaea.org/collection/NCLCollectionStore/_Public/30/027/30027430.pdf
64. What kind of control systems do fusion reactors use? - Reddit, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/fusion/comments/1706a00/what_kind_of_control_systems_do_fusion_reactors/
65. Human Factors Guidance for Control Room and Digital Human-System Interface Design and Modification - EPRI, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.epri.com/research/products/000000003002004310
66. Democratic governance and global science: A longitudinal analysis of the international research collaboration network | PLOS One, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0287058
67. Research on Task Complexity Measurements in Human—Computer Interaction in Nuclear Power Plant DCS Systems Based on Emergency Operating Procedures - PMC, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12191731/
68. [2005.05139] Tokamak disruption prediction using different machine learning techniques, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.05139
69. Digital twins and simulations - ABB, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://library.e.abb.com/public/a7340e4c75c64172a64c529d183b4d22/ABB_Review_2_2019_EN_72dpi.pdf
70. New IAEA Initiative to Enhance Fusion Energy Collaboration, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/new-iaea-initiative-to-enhance-fusion-energy-collaboration
71. What are fusion's unsolved engineering challenges? - Reddit, acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/fusion/comments/1kr9zc4/what_are_fusions_unsolved_engineering_challenges/
72. Fusion Energy: Potentially Transformative Technology Still Faces ..., acessado em julho 10, 2025, https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-23-105813
73. Technical Advisory Panel - Fusion for Energy - European Union, acessado em

julho 10, 2025,
https://fusionforenergy.europa.eu/governance-committees/technical-advisory-panel/