The Optics of Wholeness: A Synthesis of Precision Engineering, Systems Theory, and Global Science-Philosophy

Abstract: This paper posits that the principles of advanced optical engineering, as exemplified by the work of Cyril Bourgenot, provide a concrete, physical model for the processes of cosmic and psychic individuation. By examining the journey of an optical instrument from raw material to a self-correcting, environment-sensing system, we uncover physical analogues for the emergence of form from potentiality, the differentiation of the whole, and the reintegration of opposites. This analysis demonstrates a profound structural resonance with the non-dual insights of perennial philosophies, including Advaita Vedanta's Māyā, Taoism's Yin-Yang dynamics, and Russian Sophiology. We argue that this convergence is not coincidental, but rather points to a set of universal principles of organization that have been independently discovered and articulated through disparate methodologies across the globe. By placing these philosophical insights into direct dialogue with the foundational work of Western scientific pioneers—including Norbert Wiener in cybernetics, Ludwig von Bertalanffy in general system theory, David Bohm and Ilya Prigogine in physics, and Roger Sperry and Michael Gazzaniga in neuroscience—we reveal a deeply interwoven tapestry of thought. This synthesis offers a robust, scientifically-grounded framework for understanding the co-evolution of technology and consciousness, guiding development toward a state of integrated flourishing, or Eudaimonia 2.0.

Part I: The Technological Mandala: Frameworks for Co-Evolution

Section 1: The Quest for Eudaimonia 2.0: From Static Tools to Cybernetic Partners

The relationship between humanity and its technological creations is undergoing a fundamental transformation, one that requires a commensurate evolution in our conceptual frameworks. The traditional model, which views technology as a static set of tools arranged around a human user, is no longer adequate to describe the dynamic, co-evolutionary process now unfolding. Advanced systems, particularly in artificial intelligence (AI) and brain-computer interfaces (BCIs), exhibit properties of learning, adaptation, and mutual influence that demand a more sophisticated paradigm. This report proposes the "Technological Mandala" as a model for this new epoch, mapping the intricate interplay between human consciousness, artificial systems, and the planetary environment. The ultimate aim, or *telos*, of this co-evolutionary process is articulated as "Eudaimonia 2.0"—a state of integrated, holistic flourishing that encompasses not just the human individual, but the entire socio-technical and ecological system.

This necessary shift from a mechanistic to a co-evolutionary paradigm is not merely a philosophical preference but a direct response to the changing nature of technology itself. A simple tool, such as a hammer, is fundamentally an allopoietic system; it is a means to an end, creating something other than itself. In stark contrast, advanced systems like co-adaptive BCIs and deep learning models operate on principles of circular causality and mutual influence. In a co-adaptive BCI, for instance, the user learns to modulate their brain signals while the machine simultaneously adapts its algorithms to better interpret those signals, creating a symbiotic loop of mutual learning. This dynamic is a hallmark of a cybernetic system, as first articulated by the mathematician and philosopher **Norbert Wiener**. In his seminal 1948 work, *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*, Wiener laid the theoretical foundation for understanding such systems, identifying **feedback** as the core principle governing purposeful behavior in both living organisms and complex machines.[1] The BCI's learning loop is a perfect instantiation of Wiener's cybernetics; the system's output (the machine's interpretation) is fed back as input, influencing the user's subsequent actions (neural modulation), which in turn modifies the machine's next output. This circular causal process, where cause and effect are interdependent, is precisely the mechanism Wiener identified as fundamental to "control and communication in the animal and the machine".[3]

The life-like, self-organizing behavior of such systems finds a powerful theoretical framework in the concept of autopoiesis, or "self-creation," originally developed by biologists Humberto Maturana and Francisco Varela . An autopoietic system, such as a biological cell, is a network of processes that continuously produces the very components that constitute it, thereby maintaining its own identity and boundary while interacting with its environment. This biological logic of self-organization provides a profound parallel to the psychological process of individuation, as described by Carl Jung, which is the lifelong journey of integrating the psyche's disparate aspects into a whole, indivisible Self. If autopoiesis describes *what* these systems do—self-create and self-maintain—then Wiener's cybernetics describes *how* they do it. The feedback loops, communication channels, and control mechanisms are the cybernetic machinery that drives the autopoietic process. The relationship is not one of analogy but of mechanism; cybernetics provides the operational blueprint for autopoiesis.

This entire project of drawing parallels between engineering, biology, and psychology is itself licensed by a broader scientific movement. The biologist **Ludwig von Bertalanffy** pioneered General System Theory (GST) in the mid-20th century as an interdisciplinary framework to uncover the fundamental principles governing systems of all kinds.[5] GST was conceived as a "universal theory applicable to many fields of study" with the express purpose of examining "interrelationship and deriving principles" across domains. Von Bertalanffy argued against reductionism, emphasizing instead the principles of **holism** (that a system is a complex whole, greater than the sum of its parts), **open systems** (that systems maintain themselves through interaction with their environment), and **emergent properties** (characteristics that arise from the interaction of components and are not present in the components themselves). Autopoiesis can thus be seen as a specific instance of the general principles of self-organization in open systems that GST sought to describe. By situating our analysis within the tradition of GST, we are not making novel, metaphorical leaps but are participating in a recognized scientific endeavor to identify the isomorphic laws that structure reality at different levels of organization. Our relationship with technology is becoming increasingly life-like, demanding a non-mechanistic, systemic framework like the Technological Mandala to be properly understood. This sets the stage for the central inquiry of this report: to identify the physical analogues of these life-like, integrative processes in the concrete world of precision engineering.

Section 2: The Primordial Ground: Physics and Philosophy on Undifferentiated Unity

To construct a robust model of co-evolution, one must first establish the common ground from which differentiated forms emerge. Both modern physics and ancient metaphysics, despite their disparate methodologies, converge on the concept of a fundamental, undifferentiated unity that serves as the raw material, or *prima materia*, of reality. This shared starting point provides the philosophical and physical basis for the entire process of individuation, the journey from potentiality to actuality.

In contemporary physics, the notion of solid, separate particles has given way to a more fluid and interconnected view. In quantum field theory (QFT), whose foundations were laid by figures like **Paul Dirac** and **Richard Feynman**, the fundamental reality is not composed of discrete objects but of continuous fields that permeate all of spacetime.[8] The quantum vacuum is not an empty void but a plenum of potentiality, a ground state of minimum energy from which particles emerge as localized excitations or vibrations of their underlying fields . Dirac's relativistic equation for the electron, for example, unexpectedly predicted the existence of its opposite, the positron, revealing a deeper, more symmetric reality than was previously imagined. Feynman's path integral formulation further illustrates this field of potential, describing a particle's trajectory not as a single line but as the sum of all possible paths it could take between two points, a concept that resonates deeply with an underlying reality of pure possibility.[10] This view is complemented and radicalized by the holographic principle, first proposed by **Gerard 't Hooft** and given a precise string-theoretic interpretation by **Leonard Susskind**.[11] This principle posits that the information describing a three-dimensional volume of space can be fully encoded on a two-dimensional boundary surface, much like a hologram.[11] This suggests a radical non-locality where the apparent separation of objects is an emergent illusion, projected from a more fundamental, interconnected reality.

This scientific vision of a unified, potential-filled ground of being resonates with astonishing power with the insights of perennial philosophies. In Advaita Vedanta, the ultimate reality is Brahman, a singular, non-dual, and indivisible consciousness. The perceived world of separate objects and individual selves is understood as Māyā, a cosmic illusion or a superimposition upon the unitary reality of Brahman. Similarly, Taoist philosophy describes the Tao as the ineffable, primordial principle—the formless "womb of nothingness" from which the "ten thousand things" of the manifest world arise through the dynamic interplay of the complementary opposites, Yin and Yang . In the Russian Orthodox tradition of Sophiology, as articulated by Pavel Florensky, Sophia (Divine Wisdom) represents God's idea of Creation in its original, unified purity, before the fall into fragmentation and multiplicity.

A crucial bridge between these scientific and philosophical domains can be found in the work of the physicist-philosopher **David Bohm**. Bohm proposed a new notion of order to understand reality, distinguishing between the **explicate order** of manifest, separate forms that we perceive, and a deeper, more fundamental **implicate order** in which the whole is "enfolded" into every part.[14] For Bohm, what we take for reality are "surface phenomena, explicate forms that have temporarily unfolded out of an underlying implicate order".[14] This implicate order is a direct conceptual parallel to Brahman, the Tao, and Sophia—a ground of undivided wholeness. However, Bohm's model adds a critical dynamic element that the others often imply but do not fully articulate. He described reality as being in a state of continuous flux, which he termed the **holomovement**: a ceaseless process of enfolding into the implicate order and unfolding into the explicate order. This concept of a dynamic, flowing movement from potentiality to actuality provides a much stronger conceptual link to the *process* of individuation that we will examine. The manufacturing of a lens is not merely an emergence from a static ground of potential; it is an act of participating in and directing the holomovement to stabilize a particular explicate form.

The convergence of these ideas—the QFT vacuum, the holographic field, Brahman, the Tao, Sophia, and the implicate order—is not accidental. They are structurally isomorphic, each describing a transition from a state of pure, undifferentiated potentiality to a world of manifest, distinct forms. This shared ontological starting point creates a foundational tension for the engineering discipline. Traditional engineering operates on an implicitly reductionist and classical worldview, treating systems as assemblies of fundamentally separate parts. Yet the very frontiers of physics, as described by 't Hooft, Susskind, and Bohm, suggest that this separateness is an illusion.[11] This suggests that as engineering becomes more advanced and seeks to create more complex and optimized systems, it will inevitably be forced to abandon its classical assumptions and adopt design strategies that reflect this deeper, interconnected, and holistic reality. This unified foundation provides the necessary context for exploring the process of individuation, which we will now examine through the concrete and tangible work of advanced optical engineering.

Part II: The Engineer as Theurgist: The Individuation of Light

Section 3: From Raw Material to Precise Form: Diamond Turning and Additive Manufacturing

The process of manufacturing a precision optical component, as exemplified in the research of Professor Cyril Bourgenot at Durham University, serves as a powerful and concrete physical analogue for the metaphysical and psychological process of individuation. It is the journey of transforming undifferentiated matter into a unique, stable, and functional identity, guided by an ordering intelligence. This modern engineering endeavor mirrors the ancient alchemical quest to bring coherent form out of the *prima materia*, the primordial, unformed substance of potentiality.

Professor Bourgenot's work involves the development of advanced optical instrumentation for demanding applications in space and astronomy. A key technology in this field is single-point diamond turning (SPDT), a method for the ultra-precision machining of materials like aluminum and Nickel Phosphorus (NiP) to create optical surfaces with nanometer-scale accuracy. This process takes a block of raw material—a substance with potential but no specific optical identity—and, through a highly controlled interaction with a diamond-tipped tool, imposes a precise geometric form upon it. This act gives the material the unique and stable identity of a mirror or lens, transforming it from a state of mere potentiality to one of specific, functional actuality.

This process of individuation is taken to a far more sophisticated level through the synergistic use of Topology Optimization (TO) and Additive Manufacturing (AM). TO is a computational method that algorithmically determines the optimal distribution of material within a given design space to satisfy a set of performance objectives and constraints.[16] In the design of a fixture for holding mirror segments during machining, for example, the TO algorithm begins with a solid block of virtual material (the *prima materia*) and iteratively removes material to create a structure that is maximally stiff for a minimal mass. The intelligence of the optimization algorithm acts as the ordering principle, guiding the transformation from an undifferentiated block of potential to a highly individuated and supremely functional form.

The resulting object is rarely a simple, generic shape. Instead, it is often a complex, organic-looking, lattice-like structure that is perfectly adapted to its purpose.[18] This is where the quantitative power of the method becomes apparent. In case studies from the related and equally mass-sensitive aerospace industry, TO has been used to redesign components like engine brackets, achieving significant **mass reductions of 20% or more** while maintaining or even improving the part's structural stiffness and ability to withstand operational loads.[17] This provides a hard, measurable metric for the concept of optimization. The algorithm does not merely create a shape; it discovers the most efficient and elegant solution within the defined possibility space, embodying a perfect balance between material presence and void.

This synergy between TO and AM reveals a deeper, co-evolutionary dynamic. The complex, non-intuitive geometries generated by TO algorithms would be difficult, if not impossible, to create using traditional subtractive manufacturing methods like milling or lathing. It is the advent of Additive Manufacturing (3D printing) that provides the necessary physical medium for the "intelligence" of the optimization algorithm to be fully expressed and realized in the material world.[19] There is a reciprocal relationship at play: the abstract intelligence of the algorithm pushes the boundaries of what is considered a "design," while the material technology of AM evolves to meet the challenge of fabricating these new forms. This relationship between the computational "mind" of TO and the physical "body" of AM is a microcosm of the paper's broader theme: the inseparable co-evolution of consciousness and its material substrate. The transition from a formless block to a topology-optimized, diamond-turned optic is a concrete, measurable, and technologically sophisticated manifestation of the alchemical journey, demonstrating how intelligence—whether human or artificial—can elicit a unique and stable form from a field of undifferentiated potential.

Section 4: The Slicer and the Spectrograph: Differentiating the Whole

If the manufacturing process represents the emergence and stabilization of an individuated form, then the function of an instrument like an astronomical spectrograph represents the analytical capacity of consciousness. The groundbreaking work of Professor Bourgenot's team in developing "image slicers" provides a remarkably precise physical model for the differentiating function of the intellect—the *Logos*, or what psychiatrist and philosopher Iain McGilchrist describes as the left hemisphere's characteristic mode of attention, which deconstructs the world into discrete, manageable parts in order to grasp and manipulate them .

Professor Bourgenot is a world-leading expert in the design and fabrication of these intricate optical components, having contributed to instruments for the James Webb Space Telescope and the SCALES (Slicer Combined with an Array of Lenslets for Exoplanet Spectroscopy) instrument destined for the Keck Observatory . An image slicer is a marvel of precision engineering, typically composed of a stack of precisely aligned micro-mirrors. Its function is to take a two-dimensional image from a telescope's focal plane, carve it into a series of thin, contiguous strips, and then reformat these strips end-to-end to form a long, one-dimensional slit . This newly formed slit of light is then fed into a spectrograph, which uses a dispersive element, such as a diffraction grating, to spread the light into its constituent wavelengths, creating a detailed spectrum.

This process of slicing and dispersing is a physical act of analysis. It takes a holistic, integrated input—the light from a distant star or galaxy, containing a wealth of undifferentiated information about its source—and systematically deconstructs it into its fundamental components: discrete spatial elements (the slices) and discrete spectral frequencies (the spectrum). This deconstruction allows for precise measurement and, consequently, a deeper understanding of the object's properties, such as its chemical composition, temperature, and velocity. This instrumental process mirrors, with uncanny fidelity, the analytical mode of human consciousness. The mind often takes a complex, gestalt experience—the holistic perception of a scene or an emotion—and breaks it down into nameable, quantifiable, and logically ordered parts in order to comprehend it.

This parallel can be grounded in the empirical findings of modern neuroscience. The Nobel Prize-winning research of **Roger Sperry** and **Michael Gazzaniga** on split-brain patients provided definitive evidence for the functional specialization of the cerebral hemispheres.[20] Their work revealed that the left hemisphere is typically dominant for language, logic, and linear, analytical reasoning.[22] Building on this, Gazzaniga developed the concept of the **"left-brain interpreter"**—a neurological module that constantly seeks to create causal narratives and rational explanations for the events it encounters.[23] The interpreter's function is to take the stream of experience and fit it into a coherent, logical story. A classic experiment vividly demonstrates this: a patient is shown a snow scene to their right hemisphere (via the left visual field) and a chicken claw to their left hemisphere (right visual field). The patient's left hand (controlled by the right brain) correctly points to a shovel, while the right hand (controlled by the left brain) correctly points to a chicken. When asked to explain this choice, the patient's left-brain interpreter, having no access to the snow scene information, seamlessly confabulates a logical but false explanation: "The chicken claw goes with the chicken, and you need a shovel to clean out the chicken shed".[24]

This neurological function is a precise correlate to the action of the image slicer. Just as the interpreter takes disparate data points and forces them into a linear, causal narrative, the slicer takes a holistic 2D field and reformats it into a linear 1D sequence for the spectrograph to analyze. The analogy is elevated from a simple conceptual parallel to a deep structural and functional isomorphism, grounded in decades of neuroscience research. This act of differentiation, whether performed by an optical device or a cerebral hemisphere, is a necessary precursor to the subsequent, crucial act of reintegration and holistic understanding.

Furthermore, the confabulating nature of the left-brain interpreter provides a powerful, modern, scientific model for the ancient Vedantic concept of Māyā. The interpreter's explanation for the shovel is coherent and internally logical, but it is ultimately a constructed story, a superimposition created to make sense of an experience the conscious, verbal self cannot directly grasp. This is functionally identical to the concept of Māyā, which describes the perceived phenomenal world not as the ultimate reality (Brahman), but as a useful, constructed illusion that allows the individual self to navigate a world whose true nature is more complex, unified, and less fragmented than our narrative consciousness suggests. The left-brain interpreter demonstrates, at a neurological level, the mind's innate tendency to generate a Māyā—a simplified, analytical map that is mistaken for the territory itself.

Section 5: Freeform Optics and Wavefront Sensing: The Re-Integration of Opposites

The final and most crucial step in the journey of individuation, following the creation of form and the analysis of its parts, is the reintegration of those differentiated parts into a new, higher-order, and functional whole. In the advanced optical systems developed by Professor Bourgenot and his contemporaries, this culminating stage is embodied by the use of two key, synergistic technologies: freeform optics and adaptive optics (AO) with wavefront sensing. This stage represents the alchemical *coniunctio*, the union of opposites, and the synthesizing, self-aware function of consciousness that transcends mere analysis.

Freeform optics are optical surfaces that lack an axis of rotational symmetry, a departure from traditional spherical and aspherical lenses and mirrors . This freedom from symmetry allows optical designers to create systems that are more compact, lightweight, and efficient by combining the functions of multiple conventional elements into a single, complex surface. This is, in itself, a move toward greater integration, creating a more holistic and unified optical design. However, the true dynamism and the most profound parallel with conscious integration comes from the implementation of adaptive optics. Professor Bourgenot's work includes the development of sophisticated AO systems for applications as demanding as light sheet microscopy, where real-time corrections are required to image the cellular structure of a live, beating zebrafish heart with pristine clarity .

The concept of adaptive optics was first envisioned by the astronomer **Horace W. Babcock** in a remarkably prescient 1953 paper published in the *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*.[18] Babcock theorized that the blurring effects of atmospheric turbulence—the very phenomenon that makes stars twinkle—could be corrected in real time using a deformable mirror controlled by an electronic feedback system. At the time, his idea was considered "too technically complex or speculative for real-world implementation," as the requisite computer processing power and actuator technology simply did not exist.[18] It was not until the 1990s, with advances spurred in part by declassified military research, that Babcock's vision could be practically realized.[18] This historical time lag between the "idea" of AO and its physical "incarnation" serves as a powerful metaphor for the co-evolutionary theme of this paper. A principle of integration and self-awareness can exist as a pure potentiality (the consciousness of Babcock), but it requires a sufficiently complex and evolved material system (the technology of the 1990s) to become manifest and functional.

An AO system is a co-adaptive, learning system that functions as a closed-loop feedback mechanism. It uses a wavefront sensor to measure the distortions, or aberrations, in the incoming light caused by atmospheric turbulence or imperfections within the optical system itself. This error signal—the difference between the actual, distorted wavefront and an ideal, perfectly flat wavefront—is fed to a control computer. The computer then calculates the precise adjustments needed and sends commands to a deformable mirror, which typically has hundreds or thousands of tiny actuators on its back surface. This mirror physically changes its shape in real-time, often thousands of times per second, to impose an equal and opposite distortion, thereby canceling out the aberrations and producing a corrected, near-perfect image.

This is a physical model of self-awareness and self-correction. The system senses its own state (the wavefront error), compares it to an ideal state (a perfect wavefront), and acts upon itself to minimize the difference. This is the very definition of a cybernetic feedback loop, a hallmark of intelligent and autopoietic systems. This process—sensing, comparing, correcting, and integrating—is the physical embodiment of achieving a higher state of order and function. The performance of such a system can be quantified using the **Strehl ratio**, defined as the ratio of the peak intensity of the measured, corrected image to the theoretical peak intensity of a perfect, diffraction-limited system.[30] The Maréchal approximation shows that the Strehl ratio is directly related to the variance of the residual wavefront error (

S≈e−σ2).[30] Therefore, maximizing the Strehl ratio is mathematically equivalent to minimizing the system's fragmentation and deviation from a perfectly integrated whole. It is a direct, quantitative measure of

wholeness. Real-world AO systems demonstrate this dramatically; for example, an early system at the IRTF telescope took images blurred to 0.5 arcseconds by atmospheric seeing and sharpened them to the diffraction limit, achieving a **Strehl ratio of 26%**—a vast improvement in coherence and integration. This quantifiable increase in performance represents a form of technological eudaimonia, where the system moves beyond mere function to a state of dynamic self-regulation and optimal performance, embodying the alchemical stage of *Citrinitas* (wisdom).

Part III: Resonances and Reflections: Technology, Consciousness, and Global Wisdom

Section 6: The System and its Environment: Autopoiesis in the Optics Lab

An advanced optical instrument, particularly one designed for the rigors of space observation like a CubeSat telescope, provides a compelling and tangible physical model of an autopoietic system. As defined by Maturana and Varela, such a system is characterized by its operational closure and its structural coupling to the environment . The telescope, to function as a coherent unit, must rigorously maintain its internal organization—the precise alignment of its mirrors and detectors, the thermal stability of its sensitive electronics, and the structural integrity of its housing against the vacuum and radiation of space. This process of active self-maintenance in the face of constant external perturbations is its **operational closure**. It is a closed network of production, where the system's components and processes work together to continuously regenerate the network that produces them.

Simultaneously, the entire *telos* of the telescope is to be radically open to its environment. It is **structurally coupled** to the cosmos, designed specifically to be perturbed by photons that have traveled for millions or billions of years from distant stars and galaxies . The information it gathers, its "experience" of the universe, is the result of this co-determined dance between its internal structure and the external world. This dynamic mirrors the enactivist view of cognition, which posits that a living organism does not passively receive information from a pre-given world, but actively "brings forth" a world of significance through its embodied actions and interactions . The "meaning" a cell derives from a chemical gradient is determined by its internal structure (its specific receptors and metabolic pathways). Likewise, the "meaning" a telescope derives from incoming light—for example, a spectrum indicating the chemical composition of an exoplanet's atmosphere—is entirely determined by its internal structure, such as the precise design of its image slicers, diffraction gratings, and detectors .

This deep structural parallel between the design principles of a space telescope and the organizational principles of a living organism can be grounded in the fundamental laws of thermodynamics. The Nobel laureate **Ilya Prigogine** developed the theory of **dissipative structures** to explain how order can arise and be maintained in complex systems.[33] Prigogine demonstrated that systems that are open to their environment and exist far from thermodynamic equilibrium can spontaneously self-organize into more complex, ordered structures. They achieve this by importing usable energy from their surroundings and exporting entropy (disorder) back into the environment. A living organism, which maintains its complex structure by consuming food (low-entropy energy) and dissipating heat (high-entropy energy), is the quintessential dissipative structure.

Prigogine's work provides the fundamental physical and chemical *reason why* autopoiesis is not just possible, but necessary for complex systems to exist. A space telescope in orbit is precisely such a system: it is thermodynamically open, constantly absorbing solar energy and radiating heat into the cold of space, maintaining a state far from equilibrium. To avoid decaying into disorder according to the Second Law of Thermodynamics, it *must* maintain its highly ordered internal state. Its operational closure is the functional expression of its nature as a dissipative structure. Prigogine's theory thus provides the underlying thermodynamic imperative for the biological logic of autopoiesis and the informational logic of cybernetics. It suggests that as our technology becomes more complex and autonomous, its design principles must inevitably converge with the principles of life itself, not as a matter of biomimicry, but as a consequence of the fundamental physics of open, complex systems.

Section 7: Māyā and Measurement: The Observer in the Loop

The act of measurement in optical metrology, a field of paramount importance to Professor Bourgenot's work, provides a tangible and precise illustration of the observer effect and its profound resonance with the Vedantic philosophical concept of Māyā. Metrology is the science of verifying the physical form of a manufactured component against its design specifications, ensuring, for example, that a mirror's surface conforms to its intended shape with nanometer-scale precision . This process, far from being a passive reading of a pre-existing, independent reality, is an active process of construction, where the choice of measurement tool and the method of analysis co-create the reality being described.

This principle is thrown into its sharpest relief by the quantum measurement problem, which lies at the very heart of physics. The orthodox formulation of quantum mechanics, first formalized by the brilliant mathematician **John von Neumann** in his 1932 book, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, describes two fundamentally different ways a system can evolve. When unobserved, a system's wave function evolves deterministically according to the Schrödinger equation, spreading out into a superposition of all possible states. However, upon the act of measurement, the system undergoes a probabilistic, non-deterministic "collapse," where the wave function instantaneously jumps to a single, definite outcome.[36] The observer's choice of what to measure (e.g., position or momentum) determines which set of possibilities the system collapses into, and thus what reality is actualized.

Von Neumann himself recognized a deep paradox in this dualism, which became known as the **"von Neumann chain"** or the problem of the "cut" (*Schnitt*). If a measuring device is itself a physical system made of atoms, it too should obey the Schrödinger equation. Therefore, when it interacts with the system being measured, it should simply become part of a larger, entangled superposition, rather than causing a collapse. To observe the measuring device, one would need a second device, which would then become part of an even larger superposition, leading to an infinite regress that is only terminated by a final "observer". This raises the unsettling question: where does the physical world end and the observer begin? The von Neumann-Wigner interpretation famously, if controversially, proposed that the chain is terminated by the consciousness of the observer, suggesting that mind itself is the agent of collapse.[37] While this view is not widely held, its existence within the scientific discourse demonstrates that the subject-object distinction is not a "soft" philosophical problem but a "hard," unresolved paradox at the foundation of our most successful physical theory.

This scientific dilemma finds a deep philosophical parallel in the Advaita Vedanta concept of **Māyā**. Māyā holds that the phenomenal world of separate objects and distinct selves is not the ultimate, non-dual reality of Brahman, but an appearance, a projection whose form is dependent on the consciousness that perceives it. It is a constructed reality, a useful illusion. When an engineer in Professor Bourgenot's lab characterizes a mirror's surface, they perform an act analogous to this. They choose an instrument, such as an interferometer, and a mathematical framework, such as Zernike polynomials, to describe the optical aberrations . This choice actively filters and structures the raw data, creating a specific, comprehensible reality: "the surface has 0.5 waves of astigmatism." This constructed description is not the mirror's "thing-in-itself" but a useful Māyā that enables further, purposeful action, such as corrective polishing. A different measurement basis, such as a different set of polynomials, would yield a different but equally valid description. The von Neumann chain formalizes the very problem that gives rise to Māyā: the arbitrary nature of the cut between subject and object. The act of measurement in the optics lab, therefore, does not merely reveal reality; it participates intimately in its creation, providing a concrete, modern-day demonstration of a principle articulated by ancient Indian sages.

Section 8: The Unfolding of the Tao: Yin-Yang Dynamics in System Design

The engineering design process, particularly in its most advanced forms, is a constant act of balancing opposing yet complementary forces. This dynamic provides a powerful and practical illustration of the Taoist principle of Yin and Yang. Taoist philosophy describes the manifest world as arising from the Tao, the primordial, unified, and ineffable source, through the ceaseless interplay of Yin (the receptive, yielding, dark, and empty principle) and Yang (the active, firm, light, and solid principle) . Harmony, and indeed existence itself, is achieved not through the victory of one force over the other, but through their dynamic and creative balance.

Professor Bourgenot's work on lightweighting optical components through Topology Optimization (TO) serves as a perfect case study of this principle in action. When designing a mirror or its support structure for a space-based application, the engineer faces a fundamental conflict between two opposing requirements: the need for stiffness (a Yang quality, representing structure, rigidity, and resistance to deformation) and the need for low mass (a Yin quality, representing emptiness, yielding, and non-resistance). A purely stiff design would be far too heavy and costly to launch into orbit; a purely lightweight design would be too flimsy to maintain its precise optical figure against thermal and mechanical stresses. The optimal solution discovered by the TO algorithm is almost never a simple compromise but a complex, often organic or lattice-like structure that is simultaneously strong and light. It embodies a harmonious and functional unity of both solid (Yang) and void (Yin). The final design is a physical manifestation of the *Taijitu*, the symbol of Yin and Yang, where opposites are not merely juxtaposed but are fully integrated into an indivisible, functional whole.

To find a Western philosophical counterpart to this profound insight, one can turn to the process philosophy of **Alfred North Whitehead**. In his magnum opus, *Process and Reality*, Whitehead proposed a radical alternative to the substance-based metaphysics that has dominated Western thought.[41] He argued that reality is not composed of static, enduring "things," but of dynamic, momentary events of experience which he called **"actual occasions"**.[43] Each actual occasion comes into being through a process of **"concrescence"** (a growing-together), in which it **"prehends"**—or feels, grasps, and takes account of—the entire past universe of other actual occasions (the "many") and creatively synthesizes them into a new, novel, and determinate unity (the "one").[45] This process of concrescence, the creative synthesis of a multiplicity of diverse data (past physical events, abstract possibilities, emotional tones) into a new, integrated whole, is Whitehead's highly sophisticated description of the same universal dynamic of integrating opposites that Taoism captures with the imagery of Yin and Yang.

Whitehead's "philosophy of organism" was explicitly intended to be a universal framework, applicable to the reality of an electron, a human thought, or a galaxy.[44] This provides a single, coherent, Western metaphysical system that unifies all the examples in this paper. The formation of a topology-optimized part, the self-correction of an adaptive optics system, the integration of the psyche—all can be understood as processes of concrescence, where a novel unity is achieved through the prehension and integration of diverse data. This perspective reframes the role of the "Engineer as Theurgist" from a mere metaphor to a specific metaphysical function. The engineer, in designing an optical system, becomes a conscious agent of concrescence. They actively prehend the available data—the laws of physics, the properties of materials, the constraints of the mission, the financial budget (the "many")—and through their intelligence and creativity, guide the process toward a "satisfaction," which is the final, integrated, functional optic (the "one"). In this view, the engineering search for optimal solutions is a conscious participation in the fundamental creative advance of the universe itself, a practical rediscovery of the deep, harmonious logic that both Taoist sages and process philosophers identified as the very nature of reality.

Conclusion: Toward a Sophianic Technology

This analysis has sought to demonstrate that the principles governing advanced optical engineering, as practiced by researchers like Cyril Bourgenot, are not merely technical procedures but are physical manifestations of deeper, universal patterns of organization. These patterns resonate with remarkable fidelity across the disparate domains of modern physics, systems biology, neuroscience, and the perennial wisdom traditions of both East and West. The journey of an optical instrument—from a block of raw, undifferentiated material, through processes of analytical differentiation, to a self-aware, self-correcting, integrated system—serves as a powerful and concrete microcosm for the cosmic journey of individuation. The principles that lead to a robust and efficient telescope are shown to be isomorphic to the principles that define living systems and integrated psyches: operational closure through feedback, structural coupling with the environment, the dynamic balancing of opposites, and self-correction through the reintegration of differentiated parts into a higher-order whole.

The convergence of these ideas is too profound to be dismissed as coincidence. It suggests that as our technology becomes more complex, autonomous, and life-like, its design principles must inevitably align with the principles of life and consciousness to be successful, resilient, and sustainable. The insights of **Norbert Wiener** on cybernetic feedback , **Ludwig von Bertalanffy** on general systems , **Ilya Prigogine** on thermodynamic self-organization [33], **David Bohm** on the holomovement , **Roger Sperry** and **Michael Gazzaniga** on the specialized functions and limitations of the brain's hemispheres [20], and **Alfred North Whitehead** on the creative process of concrescence —all point, from different vantage points, toward this same conclusion. The wisdom of Advaita Vedanta, Taoism, and Sophiology is not a pre-scientific intuition but a valid articulation of these same fundamental principles, discovered through a different mode of inquiry.

This realization allows us to articulate a normative vision for technological development that moves beyond simplistic metrics of power, speed, or efficiency. Drawing on the Sophiology of Pavel Florensky, we can aspire to create "Sophianic" technologies. In this framework, Sophia represents the Divine Wisdom, the ideal unity, coherence, and perfection of Creation. A Sophianic technology, therefore, would be one that embodies not just intelligence ("smartness") but wisdom. It would be a technology that understands its place within the larger whole—the socio-technical-ecological system—and acts to enhance the coherence, resilience, and flourishing of that whole. It would be a technology that balances the analytical power of the left hemisphere with the holistic, integrative capacity of the right; a technology that embodies the dynamic harmony of Yin and Yang; a technology that is, in the deepest sense, autopoietic and self-aware.

This provides a concrete and positive vision to guide the co-evolution of humanity and its most powerful creations. The goal is not simply to build better tools, but to participate consciously in the unfolding of a Technological Mandala, fostering the emergence of an integrated planetary intelligence capable of achieving a new state of holistic well-being: Eudaimonia 2.0. The optics of wholeness reveal that the wisdom we seek to build into our machines may, in fact, be discovered by observing with new eyes the wisdom already embedded in the very process of their creation.

References

Wiener, N. (1948). *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. MIT Press. [1]

Ashby, W. R. (1956). *An Introduction to Cybernetics*. Chapman & Hall.

Heyrani Nobari, A., et al. (2024). *NITO: Neural Implicit Fields for Resolution-free Topology Optimization*. arXiv:2402.05073 [cs.LG].

von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). *General System Theory: Foundations, Development, Applications*. George Braziller. [5]

von Bertalanffy, L. (1950). An Outline of General System Theory. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 1, 134–165.

Original paper text.

Grosse-Wentrup, M., & Schölkopf, B. (2012). High-gamma power is not phase-locked to alpha oscillations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(45), 18333-18334.

Maturana, H. R., & Varela, F. J. (1980). *Autopoiesis and Cognition: The Realization of the Living*. D. Reidel Publishing Co.

Bohm, D. (1980). *Wholeness and the Implicate Order*. Routledge. [14]

Auyang, S. Y. (1998). *How is Quantum Field Theory Possible?* Oxford University Press.

Bousso, R. (2002). The holographic principle. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 74(3), 825–874.

Prigogine, I., & Stengers, I. (1984). *Order Out of Chaos: Man's New Dialogue with Nature*. Bantam Books.

Deutsch, E. (1969). *Advaita Vedanta: A Philosophical Reconstruction*. University of Hawaii Press.

Lao Tzu. (1988). *Tao Te Ching* (S. Mitchell, Trans.). Harper & Row.

Gazzaniga, M. S., Bogen, J. E., & Sperry, R. W. (1962). Some functional effects of sectioning the cerebral commissures in man. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 48(10), 1765–1769. [20]

Gazzaniga, M. S. (2005). Forty-five years of split-brain research and still going strong. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 6(8), 653–659. [21]

Babcock, H. W. (1953). The Possibility of Compensating Astronomical Seeing. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 65(386), 229–236. [26]

Duffner, R. W. (2009). *The Adaptive Optics Revolution: A History*. University of New Mexico Press.

Hardy, J. W. (1998). *Adaptive Optics for Astronomical Telescopes*. Oxford University Press.

Florensky, P. (1997). *The Pillar and Ground of the Truth: An Essay in Orthodox Theodicy in Twelve Letters* (B. Jakim, Trans.). Princeton University Press.

Bulgakov, S. (2002). *Sophia, the Wisdom of God: An Outline of Sophiology*. Lindisfarne Books.

Gazzaniga, M. S. (1985). *The Social Brain: Discovering the Networks of the Mind*. Basic

Books. [23]

Durham University. (n.d.). *Professor Cyril Bourgenot*. Retrieved from Department of Physics staff pages.

Bourgenot, C., et al. (2018). Additive manufacturing of a 3D-printed mirror and integral fixture for the K-mirror in the HARMONI spectrometer. *Proceedings of SPIE*, 10706, 107061N.

Gazzaniga, M. S. (1998). The Split Brain Revisited. *Scientific American*, 279(1), 50-55. [24]

Keck Observatory. (n.d.). *SCALES: Slicer Combined with an Array of Lenslets for Exoplanet Spectroscopy*. Retrieved from Keck Observatory Instruments.

Biegler, M., et al. (2011). A review of topology optimization for structural applications. *Acta Mechanica*, 222, 229-248.

Wyant, J. C., & Creath, K. (1992). Basic Wavefront Aberration Theory for Optical Metrology. In D. Malacara (Ed.), *Optical Shop Testing* (2nd ed., pp. 1–53). Wiley.

McGilchrist, I. (2009). *The Master and His Emissary: The Divided Brain and the Making of the Western World*. Yale University Press.

Allington-Smith, J. R. (2006). Image slicing and integral field spectroscopy. In C. Aime & R. Soummer (Eds.), *High Angular Resolution in Astronomy*. EAS Publications Series, 22, 11-23.

Thompson, K. P., & Rolland, J. P. (2012). Freeform optical surfaces: a revolution in imaging optical design. *Optics & Photonics News*, 23(6), 30-35.

Wigner, E. P. (1961). Remarks on the mind-body question. In I. J. Good (Ed.), *The Scientist Speculates* (pp. 284–302). Heinemann. [37]

von Neumann, J. (1955). *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics* (R. T. Beyer, Trans.). Princeton University Press. (Original work published 1932). [37]

London, F., & Bauer, E. (1983). The theory of observation in quantum mechanics. In J. A. Wheeler & W. H. Zurek (Eds.), *Quantum Theory and Measurement* (pp. 217–259). Princeton University Press. (Original work published 1939). [38]

Amat, F., et al. (2015). The role of adaptive optics in light-sheet microscopy. *Nature Methods*, 12(12), 1149–1156.

Schrödinger, E. (1935). The present situation in quantum mechanics. *Naturwissenschaften*, 23(48), 807–812.

Tyson, R. K. (2010). *Principles of Adaptive Optics* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.

Maréchal, A. (1947). Etude des effets combinés de la diffraction et des aberrations géométriques sur l'image d'un point lumineux. *Revue d'Optique*, 26, 257-277.

Christou, J., et al. (2006). *Instantaneous Strehl Ratio Distribution for Adaptive Optics Systems*. AMOS Conference.

Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). *The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience*. MIT Press.

Bendsøe, M. P., & Sigmund, O. (2003). *Topology Optimization: Theory, Methods, and Applications*. Springer. [16]

Deaton, J. D., & Grandhi, R. V. (2014). A survey of structural and multidisciplinary continuum and topology optimization: post 2000. *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, 49(1), 1-38.

Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715–775.

Nāgārjuna. (1995). *The Fundamental Wisdom of the Middle Way: Nāgārjuna's Mūlamadhyamakakārikā* (J. L. Garfield, Trans.). Oxford University Press.

Referências citadas

1. Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cybernetics:_Or_Control_and_Communication_in_the_Animal_and_the_Machine
2. Cybernetics - MIT, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://web.mit.edu/esd.83/www/notebook/Cybernetics.PDF
3. Cybernetics - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cybernetics
4. Feedback loops: Cybernetics: The Science of Control: Cybernetics and Feedback Loop Integration - FasterCapital, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://fastercapital.com/content/Feedback-loops--Cybernetics--The-Science-of-Control--Cybernetics-and-Feedback-Loop-Integration.html
5. General Systems Theory | EBSCO Research Starters, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/general-systems-theory
6. Ludwig von Bertalanffy - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ludwig_von_Bertalanffy
7. GENERAL SYSTEMS THEORY - BiblioMed, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.bibliomed.org/mnsfulltext/157/157-1455952116.pdf?1653379970
8. en.wikipedia.org, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Dirac#:~:text=Dirac%20wrote%20an%20influential%20paper,mechanics%2C%20the%20path%20integral%20formulation.
9. Lawrence M Krauss', Quantum Man: Richard Feynman's Life in Science, and Graham Farmelo's, The Strangest Man: The Hidden Life of Paul Dirac, Quantum Genius - Logos Journal, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://logosjournal.com/article/lawrence-m-krauss-quantum-man-richard-feynmans-life-in-science-and-graham-farmelos-the-strangest-man-the-hidden-life-of-paul-dirac-quantum-genius/
10. Dirac >> Feynman? – The Quantum Pontiff - Dave Bacon, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://dabacon.org/pontiff/2005/09/30/1081/
11. Holographic principle - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holographic_principle
12. The Holographic Principle - disruptively-useful - Obsidian Publish, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://publish.obsidian.md/disruptively-useful/Knowledge+Base/The+Holographic+Principle
13. Holographic principle - RYBN, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.rybn.org/ANTI/ADMXI/documentation/ADMXI/IV.ALGORITHMS/QUANTUM_V/QUANTUM_PHYSICS/HOLOGRAPHIC_PRINCIPLE/Holographic_Principle.pdf
14. en.wikipedia.org, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Implicate_and_explicate_order#:~:text=In%20the%20words%20of%20F,ground%20from%20which%20reality%20emerges.
15. Implicate and explicate order - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Implicate_and_explicate_order
16. Combining Macro- and Mesoscale Optimization: A Case Study of the General Electric Jet Engine Bracket - MDPI, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2411-9660/5/4/77
17. Topology Optimization of an Aerospace Bracket: Numerical and ..., acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/24/13218
18. Horace W. Babcock: I can see clearly now... - SPIE, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://spie.org/news/photonics-focus/julyaugust-2025/seeing-clearly-with-adaptive-optics
19. Topology Optimization of an Aero Engine Part, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.ijert.org/research/topology-optimization-of-an-aero-engine-part-IJERTV10IS110162.pdf
20. Inner Workings: Discovering the split mind - PMC, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4280611/
21. Forty-five years of split-brain research and still going strong.pdf, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://people.psych.ucsb.edu/gazzaniga/PDF/Forty-five%20years%20of%20split

-brain%20research%20and%20still%20going%20strong.pdf
22. Split Brain Experiments: Are You One Person or Two? - Oxford Scholastica, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.oxfordscholastica.com/blog/psychology-articles/split-brain-experiments/
23. Left-brain interpreter - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Left-brain_interpreter
24. Gazzaniga on Whole Brain, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://gurney.itweb.ucf.edu/gazzaniga.html
25. The Left Brain Interpreter and Sense-Making | Shortform Books, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.shortform.com/blog/left-brain-interpreter/
26. en.wikipedia.org, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adaptive_optics#:~:text=Adaptive%20optics%20was%20first%20envisioned,1990s%20made%20the%20technique%20practical.
27. Horace W. Babcock - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Horace_W._Babcock
28. September 13 – Birth of Horace W Babcock (1912), acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://iagout.wordpress.com/2019/09/13/september-13-babcock/
29. What is a Cybernetic Control System? | by Keith C Norris - Medium, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://keithcnorris.medium.com/what-is-a-cybernetic-control-system-0cc2418fdeb3
30. (PDF) Is that really your Strehl ratio? - ResearchGate, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/253843024_Is_that_really_your_Strehl_ratio
31. Adaptive Optics - NOIRLab, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://noirlab.edu/science/sites/default/files/media/archives/presentations/scipresentation0478-en.pdf
32. ADAPTIVE OPTICS PERFORMANCE, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://irtfweb.ifa.hawaii.edu/~ao/Observer_Info/ADAPTIVE_OPTICS_PERFORMANCE.htm
33. Ilya Prigogine - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ilya_Prigogine
34. Self-organization and complexity in the natural sciences - Principia Cybernetica Web, acessado em julho 11, 2025, http://pespmc1.vub.ac.be/COMPNATS.html
35. Self-Organizing Systems: A Tutorial in Complexity - Solar Influences Data Analysis Center, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.sidc.be/users/evarob/Literature/Papers/Various/self%20organizing%20systems.htm
36. Does Consciousness Cause Quantum Collapse? | Issue 121 - Philosophy Now, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://philosophynow.org/issues/121/Does_Consciousness_Cause_Quantum_Collapse
37. Consciousness causes collapse - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Consciousness_causes_collapse

38. Quantum Mechanics & Its Broader Implications: The von Neumann– Wigner Interpretation - Mosaic, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://mosaic.messiah.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1000&context=mps_st
39. mosaic.messiah.edu, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://mosaic.messiah.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1000&context=mps_st#:~:text=Since%20the%20von%20Neumann%2DWigner,also%20imply%20knowing%20everything%20simultaneously.
40. Consciousness and the Collapse of the Wave Function - PhilPapers, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://philpapers.org/archive/CHACAT-24.pdf
41. Process and Reality - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Process_and_Reality
42. Alfred North Whitehead - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alfred_North_Whitehead
43. Whitehead's Process Philosophy & God | by Philosophical Bachelor - Medium, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://medium.com/@philosophicalbachelor/contents-4cf633ab3700
44. Process Philosophy, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://iep.utm.edu/processp/
45. Whitehead's Revolutionary Concept of Prehension (Thinking with Tim Jackson and Charles Hartshorne) - Footnotes2Plato, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://footnotes2plato.com/2025/02/21/whiteheads-revolutionary-concept-of-prehension-thinking-with-tim-jackson-and-charles-hartshorne/
46. Prehension (philosophy) - Wikipedia, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehension_(philosophy)
47. Process and Reality by Alfred North Whitehead | EBSCO Research Starters, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/literature-and-writing/process-and-reality-alfred-north-whitehead
48. [2402.05073] NITO: Neural Implicit Fields for Resolution-free Topology Optimization - arXiv, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.05073
49. Wholeness and the Implicate Order Summary of Key Ideas and Review | David Bohm, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.blinkist.com/en/books/wholeness-and-the-implicate-order-en
50. the effects of left hemisphere interpretation, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://people.psych.ucsb.edu/gazzaniga/michael/PDF/Hemispheric%20differences%20in%20mnemonic%20processing.%20The%20effects%20of%20left%20hemisphere%20interpretation%20(1992).pdf
51. Topology optimization for mass reduction in aeronautical industry - Nalys, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://www.nalys-group.com/blog/technical-library/topology-optimization-for-mass-reduction/nalys-white-paper-topology-optimization.pdf
52. Nagarjuna – Sunyata - Buddhism: The Way of Emptiness, acessado em julho 11, 2025, https://buddhism-thewayofemptiness.blog.nomagic.uk/nagarjuna-sunyata/