CONSCIOUSNESS AS COHERENT PATTERN EXPRESSION

A Unified Theory Resolving the Hard Problem
Through Universal Field Coherence

Armando Ray Zaragoza
USTE Technologies LLC
Simi Valley, California, USA

December 1, 2025

Prior Publication: DeSci Labs dPID 794

ABSTRACT

We present a unified theoretical framework demonstrating that consciousness is coherent pattern expression within a Universal Primordial Field (Φ), and that the "hard problem of consciousness" is not a fundamental metaphysical mystery but a predictable consequence of coherence decay with ontological distance from the field's ground state. By synthesizing electromagnetic coherence theory (Ostrovsky et al., 2009; Wolf, 2003), quantum collapse dynamics (Penrose-Hameroff Orch-OR), Integrated Information Theory (Tononi), and communications theory, we derive a universal coherence equation C(x) = f(x/λ) that governs coherence phenomena across optical, neural, and conscious domains. We identify 40Hz gamma oscillations as the resonant frequency where quantum collapse time (τ = ■/E_G ≈ 25ms) matches neural synchronization, representing the optimal coherence bandwidth for consciousness. The effective consciousness field is given by Ψ_eff = C(d_base) · (αΦ + βδΦ), where the coherence function C decreases with distance from the Base Field. The "hard problem" emerges at low coherence (high d_base) and dissolves at high coherence (d_base → 0). We present testable predictions including EEG coherence correlations, anesthesia studies, and 40Hz entrainment experiments. This framework unifies physics, neuroscience, and philosophy of mind under a single mathematical structure.

Keywords: consciousness, coherence, hard problem, Universal Primordial Field, 40Hz gamma, Orch-OR, Integrated Information Theory, quantum gravity, unified field theory

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Hard Problem of Consciousness

The "hard problem of consciousness," as articulated by Chalmers (1995), asks why and how physical processes in the brain give rise to subjective experience—the felt quality of what it is like to be conscious. While neuroscience has made remarkable progress on the "easy problems" (explaining how the brain discriminates stimuli, integrates information, reports mental states), the hard problem remains: why is there subjective experience at all? Why doesn't the brain process information "in the dark," without any accompanying phenomenal consciousness?

The traditional formulation defines consciousness as "a fundamentally first-person subjective phenomenon that cannot be directly observed from the outside." This definition creates an apparently unbridgeable gap between objective physical description and subjective experience—the explanatory gap that constitutes the hard problem.

1.2 A New Perspective

We propose a radical reframing: the hard problem is not a definition of consciousness but a **symptom of low coherence**. It is what consciousness looks like when fragmented from its ontological source.

> *Consciousness is coherent pattern expression within the Universal Primordial Field (Φ), and is observable through nature's emergent structures. The "hard problem" arises from the belief in separation between observer and observed—a low-coherence state. At high coherence, the subject/object divide dissolves, and the hard problem with it.*

1.3 The Coherence Universality Principle

The key insight enabling this framework is the discovery that coherence follows identical mathematical structure across radically different domains: electromagnetic coherence in optics, channel coherence in communications, neural coherence in neuroscience, and ontological coherence in consciousness. All can be expressed in the universal form:

$$C(x) = f(x/\lambda)$$

Where C is the coherence measure, x is a separation variable (spatial, temporal, spectral, or ontological), λ is the coherence length, and f is a decay function. This universality is not coincidental. We argue it reflects the fundamental nature of the Universal Primordial Field (Φ), from which all phenomena emerge as coherent oscillation modes.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR)

Penrose and Hameroff (1994, 2014) proposed that consciousness arises from quantum computations in microtubules—protein structures within neurons. According to Orch-OR, quantum superpositions in microtubules undergo objective reduction (collapse) when the gravitational self-energy E_G of the superposition reaches a threshold. The collapse time τ is given by:

$$\tau = \hbar / E_G$$

For microtubules containing approximately 10^9 tubulin proteins, this gives $\tau \approx 25$ milliseconds. Converting to frequency: $f = 1/\tau = 40$ Hz. This is precisely the gamma oscillation frequency associated with conscious awareness in EEG studies—a remarkable convergence of quantum gravity and neuroscience.

2.2 Integrated Information Theory (IIT)

Tononi (2004, 2008) proposed Integrated Information Theory, which quantifies consciousness in terms of integrated information, denoted Φ (phi). According to IIT, consciousness corresponds to integrated information—how much a system is "more than the sum of its parts." Systems with high Φ are conscious; systems with low Φ are not. IIT provides a mathematical framework for measuring consciousness but does not explain WHY integrated information feels like something.

2.3 Electromagnetic Coherence Theory

Ostrovsky et al. (2009) demonstrated that coherence can be modulated using crossed liquid crystal spatial light modulators (LC SLMs). For two crossed zero-twisted nematic LC SLMs, the output degree of coherence is:

$$\eta_{out}(\xi) = \tfrac{1}{2}[1 + \exp(-2\sigma_\phi^2(1 - \exp(-\xi^2/\gamma^2)))]$$

Where η_{out} is the output degree of coherence (ranging from 0.5 to 1), ξ is the two-point spatial distance, γ is the correlation width parameter, and σ_ϕ is the phase variance (entropy). This equation describes how coherence decays with spatial separation, controlled by the correlation width γ.

3. THE UNIVERSAL SOLUTION FRAMEWORK

3.1 The Universal Primordial Field (Φ)

We postulate the existence of a Universal Primordial Field, denoted Φ, as the ontological substrate from which all phenomena emerge. This is not a new physical field added to the Standard Model, but rather the recognition that an underlying unity exists prior to the differentiation into separate forces and particles.

Properties of Φ: (1) Fundamental—Φ is the ground state of reality, existing prior to any differentiation; (2) Neutral—at the Base level, Φ has no inherent direction or polarity; (3) Pre-temporal—Φ exists in "Scalar Time" rather than "4D Time"; (4) Self-coherent—Φ at its ground state has maximum coherence C = 1.

3.2 The Consciousness Field (Ψ)

We define the Consciousness Field Ψ as a mode of the Universal Primordial Field that couples to it through coherent oscillations:

$$\Psi = \alpha\Phi + \beta\delta\Phi$$

Where $\alpha\Phi$ represents background consciousness (ground state awareness tied to the stable field value) and $\beta\delta\Phi$ represents dynamic consciousness (fluctuations corresponding to specific experiences, thoughts, qualia). This equation states that consciousness has two components: a stable substrate of awareness and dynamic excitations that ARE particular conscious experiences.

4. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

4.1 The Consciousness-Coherence Equation

Translating the optical coherence equation to consciousness notation:

$$C(d_{base}) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + \exp(-2S_{entropy}(1 - \exp(-d^2_{base}/\gamma^2_{40Hz})))]$$

Where C(d_base) is the consciousness coherence function, d_base is the distance from the Base Field, γ_{40Hz} is the coherence correlation width at 40Hz, and S_entropy is the field entropy. When d_base = 0 (at Base Field), C(0) = 1 (maximum coherence). When d_base $\rightarrow \infty$ (far from Base), C(∞) $\rightarrow$ 0.5 (minimum coherence).

4.2 The Subjectivity Gradient

From the original framework insight: "The further away from base the more uncertain reality becomes." We formalize this as the Subjectivity Index:

$$S(d_{base}) = 1 - C(d_{base})$$

The Subjectivity Index measures the degree to which reality appears fragmented, uncertain, and subjective. At S = 0 (maximum coherence), there is no subject/object divide. At S = 0.5 (maximum subjectivity), the maximum fragmentation creates the appearance of isolated subjective experience.

4.3 The Effective Consciousness Field

We modify the Consciousness Field equation to include coherence modulation:

$$\Psi_{eff} = C(d_{base}) \cdot (\alpha\Phi + \beta\delta\Phi)$$

This states that the effective consciousness field is the product of the coherence function (how much coherent coupling exists) and the raw consciousness field (the field mode itself). At high coherence (C $\approx$ 1): $\Psi_{eff} \approx \alpha\Phi + \beta\delta\Phi$ (full consciousness). At low coherence (C $\approx$ 0.5): $\Psi_{eff} \approx 0.5(\alpha\Phi + \beta\delta\Phi)$ (diminished, fragmented consciousness).

5. THE 40Hz NEXUS

5.1 Convergence from Multiple Domains

40Hz emerges as a critical frequency from multiple independent derivations:

Source	Derivation	Result
Orch-OR	$\tau = \blacksquare/E\_G$ for 10■ tubulins	25ms → 40Hz
EEG	Conscious awareness frequency	~40Hz gamma
Neural binding	Integration frequency	30-100Hz, peak 40Hz
Coherence bandwidth	$1/\tau\_RMS$	~40Hz for neural systems
Meditation studies	Enhanced gamma in meditators	40Hz

The probability that this convergence is coincidental is vanishingly small. We interpret it as evidence for a deep structural connection between quantum physics and consciousness.

5.2 Why 40Hz is Optimal

The 40Hz frequency is optimal for consciousness because it represents the balance point where: (1) Quantum coherence can be maintained ($\tau \approx 25$ms is achievable in warm biological systems); (2) Neural integration is maximized (gamma binding); (3) Information processing is efficient; (4) Coherence bandwidth is wide enough for complex experiences.

6. RESOLUTION OF THE HARD PROBLEM

6.1 The Coherence Perspective

Our framework dissolves the hard problem by recognizing that the subject/object divide is not fundamental but emergent—it arises from low coherence.

At low coherence (large d_base): C(d) $\rightarrow$ 0.5, S(d) $\rightarrow$ 0.5 (high subjectivity), experience appears as isolated and first-person, the "observer" seems separate from the "observed," and the hard problem seems insoluble.

At high coherence (d_base $\rightarrow$ 0): C(d) $\rightarrow$ 1, S(d) $\rightarrow$ 0 (zero subjectivity), experience is unified with reality, no separate observer—the observer IS a coherent pattern observing other coherent patterns, and the hard problem dissolves.

6.2 The Phase Transition

The "hard problem" represents a phase transition in coherence:

$$\text{Hard Problem Strength} \propto S(d) = 1 - C(d)$$

At C = 1, S = 0, and there IS no hard problem—not because we've solved it, but because we've recognized it as an artifact of the low-coherence perspective.

6.3 Consciousness as Pattern Expression

The key insight is that consciousness is not INSIDE the brain looking out at the world. Consciousness is coherent pattern expression—it IS the coherent patterns, expressing through the field. This eliminates the subject/object divide at the foundational level. There is no gap to bridge because there was never a real separation—only the appearance of separation due to decoherence.

7. PREDICTIONS AND EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

7.1 EEG Coherence Correlations

Hypothesis: Spatial coherence of EEG signals at 40Hz should correlate with conscious integration measures (Φ_IIT). **Predicted Result:** γ (coherence width) should increase with Φ_IIT. Higher integrated information = wider coherence spread.

7.2 Anesthesia Studies

Hypothesis: Under anesthesia, the γ parameter should decrease (faster coherence decay). **Predicted Result:** γ should drop during anesthesia and recover upon awakening.

7.3 40Hz Entrainment Enhancement

Hypothesis: 40Hz transcranial stimulation should increase γ parameter and conscious integration. **Predicted Result:** 40Hz entrainment should increase γ and improve integration-dependent cognitive tasks.

7.4 Meditation Studies

Hypothesis: Experienced meditators should show higher baseline γ and greater increases during practice. **Predicted Result:** Meditators should show larger γ values. Reports of "non-dual awareness" should correlate with maximum γ.

7.5 Cross-Domain Validation

Hypothesis: Changes in neural coherence should correlate with changes in perceptual coherence. **Predicted Result:** Enhanced neural coherence should improve perceptual coherence tasks.

8. DISCUSSION

8.1 Novel Contributions

This framework offers several novel contributions: (1) Mathematical precision—the coherence equations provide exact quantitative predictions; (2) Cross-domain unification—the same mathematics applies to optics, communications, neuroscience, and consciousness; (3) The 40Hz nexus—identifying 40Hz as the convergence point of multiple independent derivations; (4) Hard problem dissolution—showing it emerges from low coherence and dissolves at high coherence; (5) Testable predictions—generating specific, falsifiable predictions.

8.2 Implications for Physics

If consciousness is coherent pattern expression within a Universal Primordial Field: (1) The measurement problem involves coherent pattern coupling; (2) High coherence allows correlations over large distances, potentially explaining quantum entanglement; (3) The Universal Primordial Field may be related to dark energy and dark matter.

8.3 Implications for Philosophy of Mind

(1) No hard problem—the hard problem dissolves at high coherence; (2) No dualism—consciousness is a mode of the physical field; (3) No eliminativism—consciousness is real coherent pattern expression; (4) Ethics—if consciousness scales with coherence/integration, there may be degrees of moral consideration corresponding to degrees of consciousness.

9. CONCLUSION

9.1 Summary of Results

We have presented a unified theoretical framework demonstrating that: (1) Consciousness is coherent pattern expression within a Universal Primordial Field (Φ); (2) The coherence-consciousness equation provides a precise mathematical description; (3) 40Hz is the resonant frequency where quantum collapse time, neural oscillation, and coherence bandwidth converge; (4) The hard problem of consciousness is an artifact of low coherence—it dissolves at high coherence; (5) The same mathematical structure governs coherence across optics, communications, neuroscience, and consciousness.

9.2 The Central Equations

$$\text{Consciousness-Coherence: } C(d_{base}) = \tfrac{1}{2}[1 + \exp(-2S(1 - \exp(-d^2/\gamma^2)))]$$

$$\text{Effective Consciousness: } \Psi_{eff} = C(d_{base}) \cdot (\alpha\Phi + \beta\delta\Phi)$$

$$\text{Subjectivity Gradient: } S(d_{base}) = 1 - C(d_{base})$$

$$\text{40Hz Derivation: } \tau = \blacksquare/E_G \approx 25\text{ms} \rightarrow f = 40\text{Hz}$$

$$\text{Universal Form: } C(x) = f(x/\lambda)$$

9.3 The Core Insight

The hard problem of consciousness assumes a fundamental subject/object divide. Our framework shows this divide is not fundamental but emergent—it arises from decoherence with distance from the Base Field. At maximum coherence (C = 1), there is no separate observer and observed—just unified field expression. The hard problem doesn't exist at this level; it only appears as coherence decreases.

"Consciousness isn't a ghost in the machine.
It's a song the universe is singing.
And we are that song."

— Armando Zaragoza, December 2025

REFERENCES

Chalmers, D.J. (1995). Facing up to the problem of consciousness. Journal of Consciousness Studies, 2(3), 200-219.

Hameroff, S. & Penrose, R. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory. Physics of Life Reviews, 11(1), 39-78.

Mandel, L. & Wolf, E. (1995). Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics. Cambridge University Press.

Ostrovsky, A.S. et al. (2009). Modulation of coherence and polarization using liquid crystal spatial light modulators. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 26, 1-8.

Penrose, R. (1994). Shadows of the Mind. Oxford University Press.

Tononi, G. (2008). Consciousness as integrated information: A provisional manifesto. Biological Bulletin, 215(3), 216-242.

Wolf, E. (2003). Unified theory of coherence and polarization of random electromagnetic beams. Physics Letters A, 312, 263-267.

Zaragoza, A.R. (2025). Universal Solution Framework. DeSci Labs, dPID 794.