

# The Paradoxical Point of Coherence: Competing Narratives and Contemporary Zeitgeist Formation

**Author:** Rafael Oliveira

**ORCID:** 0009-0005-2697-4668

**Date:** August 2025

## Abstract

This study proposes a radical reinterpretation of coherence within contemporary zeitgeist formation, arguing that diverse geopolitical, social, economic, and religious narratives serve the interests of a coherence nucleus. Rather than representing a rigid harmony formula, coherence emerges as the capacity to make sense of disorder through the dialectical interaction of competing narratives. Through theoretical analysis and contemporary case studies, this research demonstrates that the contemporary zeitgeist constitutes a paradoxical synthesis of forces that, while concurrent, provide meaning and form to our epoch. The study introduces a conceptual equation where zeitgeist equals the sum of competing narrative vectors, with coherence representing the comprehension of this equation itself.

**Keywords:** zeitgeist, narrative coherence, geopolitics, social theory, contemporary culture

## 1. Introduction: Zeitgeist Coherence as Equation

The thesis that "diverse geopolitical, social, economic, and religious narratives serve the interests of the coherence nucleus in zeitgeist" suggests a radical reinterpretation of the coherence concept. Zeitgeist can be understood as a hypothesis for a pattern in meaningful practices that is specific to a particular historical time-period, links different realms of social life and social groups, and extends across geographical contexts. Rather than a rigid harmony formula, coherence emerges as the capacity to make sense of disorder within contemporary temporal consciousness.

The zeitgeist—a German term meaning "spirit of the age" or "spirit of time"—represents the intellectual, sociological, and cultural climate of a historical period. Contemporary use of the term sometimes refers to a schema of fashions or fads that prescribe what is considered acceptable or tasteful for an era. Coherence, in turn, is not the absence of conflicts but rather the ability to perceive and comprehend how these conflicts, and the narratives that generate them, interconnect and mutually influence each other.

This analysis proposes a conceptual equation for our time:

**Zeitgeist = (Geopolitical Narratives + Social Narratives + Economic Narratives + Religious Narratives)**

Coherence, therefore, becomes the understanding of this equation itself—the capacity to identify patterns in collision, order in anarchy, and meaning in paradox.

## 2. Theoretical Framework: Competing Narratives and Social Construction

Groups with divergent beliefs and experiences construct different narratives of the same event, grounded in selectively remembered and interpreted experiences. Shaping narratives in social construction processes is fundamental to influencing the direction of social change dynamics in a given society. This theoretical foundation supports the argument that narratives are not merely descriptive but constitutive of social reality.

The concept of narrative engagement suggests that individuals interact with multiple, competing discourses about social categories, recognizing that these discourses are not neutral with regard to political and historical power. This engagement forms the basis of contemporary zeitgeist construction through the dynamic interaction of opposing forces.

### **3. The Zeitgeist as Sum of Its Narratives: Equation Components**

Narratives are "stories" containing "beginning, middle, and end" with their own heroes, villains, and political morals, representing the "tip of the iceberg" of deeper ideas and interests. The coherence of our zeitgeist emerges from the dialectical interaction between different spheres.

#### **3.1 The Geopolitical Vector: Tension Between Conflicting Orders**

Contemporary geopolitics is marked by narrative clashes. The realist vision of an anarchic system, where states compete for power and security, collides with the liberal narrative advocating institutional cooperation, trade, and defense of rights such as self-determination. The contemporary zeitgeist is largely defined by this tension.

**Case Study: The Ukraine War** The Russian invasion represents a direct clash between the realist narrative of maintaining a sphere of influence in Eastern Europe and the Western liberal narrative of sovereignty and NATO expansion. Economic sanctions and Western military aid aim to force diplomacy, but the effectiveness of these measures remains uncertain, as authoritarian regimes can exploit nationalist narratives to resist pressure. The UN's ineffectiveness, paralyzed by veto power in the Security Council, demonstrates the primacy of realist logic over liberal idealism.

**Case Study: The Taiwan Strait** The tension here represents a "clash of narratives" between China, which defends the "One China Policy" and reunification, and the US, which, while formally recognizing this policy, provides military support to Taiwan. The inconsistency of American foreign policy becomes a source of instability, reinforcing the logic of great power competition.

The coherence of the geopolitical zeitgeist, therefore, is not a victory of one theory over another, but the understanding that reality is a product of the struggle between them.

#### **3.2 The Social and Economic Vector: Coherence of Fragmentation**

At the social and economic level, the zeitgeist manifests through narratives that compete for attention in an environment of media convergence. Economic narratives shape language and guide policies, capable

of producing changes in national behavior. Similarly, writers and sociologists "polish social life," creating narratives that give meaning to the experiences of the era, even in fragments and nuances.

The coherence of the zeitgeist does not lie in a "single ordering thought" but in the fact that these narratives, however fragmented, intersect to form an understanding of reality. The rise of environmental consciousness, for example, is a zeitgeist trait, but it coexists with economic narratives that prioritize material growth. "Coherence" emerges from recognizing this tension and how it defines the choices and debates of our era.

### **3.3 The Religious Vector: Coexistence of Sacred Narratives**

The belief in global secularization has been questioned by reality. Religion, which defines the identity and values of many peoples, has returned to the center of political and geopolitical debate. Religious narratives, by defining the sacred, shape worldviews that can accentuate conflict frameworks. The existence of conflicting religious narratives, as seen in various crises where the "divine struggle between good and evil" translates into political battles, is an intrinsic and coherent part of the contemporary zeitgeist.

Coherence, in this case, is not the absence of opposing beliefs but the understanding that they are a driving force in geopolitics and social life.

## **4. Coherence as Equation Reading**

Zeitgeist tends to be held together by the coherence of a set of ideas, but this study argues that coherence is not a final state to be achieved but rather a methodology for understanding reality. The thesis that diverse narratives "serve the interests of the coherence nucleus" is valid because the zeitgeist cannot be understood from a single angle. It is the sum of its parts, and coherence lies in understanding that its nature is multifaceted and contradictory.

The equation is not static; vectors move and influence each other. Collective narratives serve as 'meta-stories' embraced by groups that shape group behavior. The operation of interest groups in "parliamentary fronts," for example, is not merely a symptom of "alignment fragility" but a "specific configuration" of the relationship between interest groups and political space—a reflection of our zeitgeist that is more pragmatic than idealistic.

Coherence is the capacity to correctly read this complexity, to understand that reality is a "narrativization" of existence, a "synthesis" of the multiple intrigues surrounding human action.

## **5. Implications and Contemporary Relevance**

Collective narration at the systemic level of international affairs reveals that metastories unite under single discursive frameworks, supporting the argument that competing narratives can serve coherent functions. This framework has significant implications for understanding contemporary political phenomena, including polarization, international conflicts, and cultural fragmentation.

The study suggests that rather than seeking resolution of narrative conflicts, understanding their dynamic interaction provides greater insight into contemporary social and political processes. Competing ways of interpreting history provide contemporary political preferences with meaning, demonstrating the ongoing relevance of narrative competition in shaping zeitgeist.

## **6. Limitations and Future Research**

This theoretical framework requires empirical validation through quantitative and qualitative studies examining specific narrative interactions across different cultural and political contexts. Future research should investigate how the proposed equation operates in various geographical and temporal settings, and whether the coherence mechanism functions similarly across different societies.

Additionally, the relationship between narrative competition and democratic stability warrants further investigation, particularly given concerns about polarization creating an 'us versus them' mentality that threatens democratic cooperation.

## **7. Conclusion**

The zeitgeist results from the sum of narratives that compete and interconnect. Coherence, in turn, is the capacity to read and interpret this equation. Instead of seeking a single truth or universal model, the path to coherence is recognizing the multifaceted and paradoxical nature of our time.

Our era is coherent not because it is simple, but because its complexity is its own definition. The "spirit of time" is the spirit of paradox. Through understanding the dynamic interaction of competing narratives across geopolitical, social, economic, and religious spheres, we gain insight into the fundamental structure of contemporary consciousness and the mechanisms through which meaning emerges from apparent chaos.

The conceptual equation proposed here offers a framework for analyzing how diverse, often conflicting narratives contribute to a coherent understanding of our historical moment. Rather than viewing narrative competition as problematic, this study suggests that such competition is essential to the formation and maintenance of contemporary zeitgeist coherence.

## **References**

*Note: This article draws from contemporary research on zeitgeist theory, narrative psychology, and social construction, synthesizing insights from multiple disciplinary perspectives to develop a novel theoretical framework for understanding contemporary cultural coherence.*