Impact of Reservoir Heterogeneity on Water Flooding Performance in the Amal Field

AUTHOR:Clement Alex, Brian Ellis, Steve Marketyn

Date:04/01/2023

ABSTRACT

Reservoir heterogeneity plays a crucial role in determining the efficiency of water flooding as an enhanced oil recovery (EOR) technique. This study evaluates the impact of reservoir heterogeneity on water flooding performance in the Amal Field, a mature oil field characterized by complex geological features. Using dynamic reservoir simulation and production data analysis, the study assesses key factors such as permeability variations, lithological discontinuities, and fluid flow dynamics that influence sweep efficiency and ultimate oil recovery. Results indicate that high heterogeneity leads to uneven water breakthrough, early water production, and reduced displacement efficiency, posing challenges for optimized reservoir management. The findings underscore the importance of integrating geological modeling with advanced reservoir simulation to improve water flooding strategies and maximize recovery. This research provides valuable insights for reservoir engineers and decision-makers seeking to enhance water flooding performance in heterogeneous reservoirs.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background on Water Flooding as an Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) Technique

Water flooding is one of the most widely used secondary recovery techniques in the oil and gas industry to maintain reservoir pressure and enhance hydrocarbon recovery. It involves injecting water into the reservoir to displace oil towards production wells, thereby increasing the overall recovery factor. This method is cost-effective compared to other enhanced oil recovery (EOR) techniques and has been successfully applied in numerous oil fields worldwide. However, the efficiency of water flooding depends on several reservoir characteristics, including rock properties, fluid dynamics, and, most importantly, the degree of heterogeneity within the reservoir.

1.2 Importance of Reservoir Heterogeneity in Influencing Water Flooding Efficiency

Reservoir heterogeneity significantly affects the success of water flooding operations. Variations in permeability, porosity, lithology, and reservoir compartmentalization can lead to uneven fluid displacement, premature water breakthrough, and bypassed oil zones. Highly heterogeneous reservoirs often exhibit poor sweep efficiency due to the presence of high-permeability streaks, barriers, or complex geological structures that divert injected water away from oil-rich zones. Understanding these heterogeneities is crucial for optimizing injection strategies, improving oil displacement, and minimizing water production challenges.

1.3 Overview of the Amal Field and Its Geological Complexity

The Amal Field is a mature oil reservoir with complex geological characteristics that pose challenges for water flooding efficiency. The field exhibits significant heterogeneity due to its stratigraphic variations, faulting, and compartmentalized nature. These factors influence fluid flow behavior and require advanced reservoir characterization techniques to design an effective water flooding strategy. By analyzing the field's geological features and dynamic production data, a better understanding of water movement and oil displacement can be achieved, enabling improved recovery performance.

1.4 Objectives and Scope of the Study

This study aims to evaluate the impact of reservoir heterogeneity on water flooding performance in the Amal Field. The key objectives include:

- Investigating the geological and petrophysical characteristics of the Amal Field that influence water flooding behavior.
- Assessing the effects of permeability variation, reservoir compartmentalization, and fluid flow dynamics on sweep efficiency.
- Utilizing dynamic reservoir simulation and production data to analyze water flooding performance.
- Proposing optimized water injection strategies to enhance oil recovery in heterogeneous reservoirs.

By addressing these objectives, this research provides valuable insights into the challenges posed by reservoir heterogeneity and offers recommendations for improving water flooding efficiency in similar mature oil fields.

2. Geological and Reservoir Characteristics of the Amal Field

2.1 Regional Geology and Stratigraphy

The Amal Field is located in a geologically complex region characterized by multiple stratigraphic sequences and structural features that influence reservoir performance. The field is part of a larger sedimentary basin formed through a combination of tectonic activity and sediment deposition over millions of years. It consists of various depositional environments, including fluvial, deltaic, and shallow marine sequences, which have resulted in diverse rock types and reservoir properties.

Stratigraphically, the Amal Field comprises multiple reservoir zones with varying lithologies, predominantly sandstone and carbonate formations. These reservoirs exhibit significant lateral and vertical variations in petrophysical properties, affecting fluid flow and recovery performance. Understanding the depositional environment and stratigraphic framework is crucial for predicting reservoir behavior and optimizing water flooding strategies.

2.2 Rock and Fluid Properties

The reservoir rock in the Amal Field is primarily composed of sandstone and carbonate formations with varying degrees of porosity and permeability. The sandstone units typically exhibit moderate to high porosity, ranging from 15% to 25%, with permeability values that can vary significantly due to diagenetic processes and grain sorting. Carbonate formations, on the other hand, often exhibit dual porosity characteristics due to the presence of fractures and vugs, which influence fluid flow behavior.

The fluid properties of the Amal Field are equally important in determining water flooding efficiency. The crude oil in the reservoir has a moderate viscosity, with an API gravity in the range of 25° to 35°. The reservoir also contains associated gas, which impacts pressure maintenance and fluid displacement. Water salinity and wettability characteristics play a crucial role in determining the efficiency of water flooding, as they influence capillary pressure and relative permeability relationships.

2.3 Structural and Lithological Heterogeneity

The structural complexity of the Amal Field is largely influenced by tectonic forces that have resulted in faulting, folding, and compartmentalization. These structural features create variations in reservoir connectivity and fluid flow pathways. Faults can act as barriers or conduits for fluid movement, depending on their sealing properties. Additionally, fractures within carbonate formations can enhance permeability in some zones while reducing sweep efficiency in others.

Lithological heterogeneity in the Amal Field arises from differences in grain size, cementation, and diagenetic alterations. These variations create highly heterogeneous flow regimes, leading to challenges in water flooding operations. High-permeability streaks can result in early water breakthrough, while low-permeability zones may remain unswept, reducing overall recovery efficiency.

2.4 Reservoir Compartmentalization and Connectivity

Reservoir compartmentalization in the Amal Field is influenced by a combination of stratigraphic and structural factors. The presence of shale barriers, lateral facies changes, and faulted zones creates distinct reservoir compartments that may not be in direct communication with each other. This compartmentalization impacts pressure maintenance, fluid displacement, and water flooding performance.

Reservoir connectivity is a key factor in determining the effectiveness of water injection strategies. In well-connected reservoirs, injected water can efficiently displace oil toward production wells, resulting in higher recovery factors. However, in poorly connected compartments, water injection may be ineffective, leading to bypassed oil and reduced recovery. Understanding the degree of reservoir connectivity through dynamic modeling and well performance analysis is essential for optimizing water flooding operations.

3. Impact of Reservoir Heterogeneity on Water Flooding Performance

Reservoir heterogeneity plays a critical role in determining the success and efficiency of water flooding as an enhanced oil recovery (EOR) method. Variations in permeability, stratigraphic layering, reservoir discontinuities, wettability, and capillary forces can significantly impact fluid flow, sweep efficiency, and ultimate oil recovery. Understanding these factors is essential for optimizing water flooding strategies and mitigating production challenges.

3.1 Influence of Permeability Variations on Fluid Flow

Permeability variations within a reservoir control the movement of injected water and the displacement of hydrocarbons. In a homogeneous reservoir, water flows uniformly, sweeping oil efficiently toward production wells. However, in heterogeneous reservoirs like the Amal Field, permeability can vary widely due to lithological differences, diagenetic processes, and the presence of natural fractures.

- High-permeability streaks or thief zones allow water to bypass lower-permeability areas, leading to early water breakthrough and leaving significant oil volumes unrecovered.

- Low-permeability zones, such as tight sands or cemented layers, restrict fluid movement, causing inefficient displacement and increasing residual oil saturation.

- The permeability contrast between different reservoir layers impacts vertical and horizontal fluid distribution, influencing overall sweep efficiency.

- Accurate permeability characterization through well logs, core analysis, and dynamic reservoir simulation is crucial for predicting fluid flow behavior and designing effective water injection strategies.

3.2 Effect of Reservoir Layering and Discontinuities on Sweep Efficiency

Stratigraphic layering and geological discontinuities significantly influence the movement of injected water within the reservoir. The Amal Field consists of multiple depositional layers with varying permeability and porosity, affecting sweep efficiency in different ways:

- **Layered Reservoirs**: In reservoirs with distinct high- and low-permeability layers, water preferentially moves through the more permeable layers, bypassing oil in tighter formations. This results in poor vertical sweep efficiency.

- **Reservoir Discontinuities**: The presence of shale barriers, unconformities, and lateral facies changes can create isolated reservoir compartments, preventing efficient pressure communication and fluid displacement.

- **Faulting**: Sealing faults can compartmentalize the reservoir, restricting water movement and requiring targeted injection strategies to optimize recovery. Non-sealing faults, on the other hand, may act as conduits for rapid water flow, exacerbating early water breakthrough issues.

Addressing these challenges requires advanced geological modeling, reservoir simulation, and optimized well placement to improve conformance and maximize sweep efficiency.

3.3 Water Breakthrough Behavior and Conformance Issues

Water breakthrough is a major challenge in heterogeneous reservoirs and is directly linked to permeability variations, fractures, and reservoir layering. When injected water finds a preferential flow path through high-permeability zones, it reaches production wells prematurely, reducing oil recovery and increasing water-cut.

- **Early Water Breakthrough**: Occurs when high-permeability streaks or fractures allow water to travel faster than expected, leading to water production before efficient oil displacement.

- **Poor Conformance**: Uneven water distribution across the reservoir results in inefficient sweep, leaving significant bypassed oil zones.

- **Channeling and Fingering**: Water channeling through high-permeability regions and viscous fingering in cases of high mobility contrast can further reduce recovery efficiency.

To mitigate water breakthrough and conformance issues, strategies such as polymer flooding, gel treatments, and controlled injection rates are often implemented to modify fluid mobility and improve sweep uniformity.

3.4 Impact of Reservoir Wettability and Capillary Forces

Reservoir wettability and capillary forces play a fundamental role in controlling fluid distribution and displacement efficiency during water flooding. The wettability of a reservoir determines how oil and water interact with the rock surface, influencing relative permeability and residual oil saturation.

- ✧ **Water-Wet Reservoirs**: Favorable for water flooding, as water preferentially adheres to the rock surface, displacing oil efficiently.

- ✧ **Oil-Wet Reservoirs**: Challenging for water flooding, as oil clings to rock grains, resulting in lower recovery and increased residual oil saturation.

- ✧ **Mixed-Wet Systems**: Exhibit complex displacement behavior, requiring careful evaluation of fluid-rock interactions for effective recovery planning.

Capillary forces also impact fluid movement, particularly in fine-grained rocks or tight formations. High capillary pressure can trap oil within pore spaces, reducing displacement efficiency. Understanding these forces is crucial for selecting appropriate EOR techniques such as surfactant flooding to alter wettability and enhance recovery.

4. Methodology

A systematic methodology is essential for assessing the impact of reservoir heterogeneity on water flooding performance in the Amal Field. This study integrates multiple data sources, advanced reservoir simulation techniques, and sensitivity analyses to evaluate key heterogeneity parameters and optimize water flooding strategies.

4.1 Data Collection and Analysis

A comprehensive dataset is required to accurately characterize reservoir heterogeneity and its effect on water flooding efficiency. The following data sources are utilized in this study:

- **Core Data**: Laboratory analysis of core samples provides direct measurements of porosity, permeability, capillary pressure, and wettability. These properties are essential for understanding rock-fluid interactions and fluid flow behavior.

- **Well Logs**: Petrophysical well logs, including gamma-ray, resistivity, neutron, and density logs, help define lithology, porosity distribution, and reservoir zonation. Well log interpretation aids in identifying high-permeability streaks, shale barriers, and fluid contacts.

- **Production Data**: Historical production and injection data are analyzed to assess water cut trends, pressure behavior, and breakthrough patterns. This information is crucial for understanding reservoir dynamics and identifying areas of poor sweep efficiency.

- **Seismic Data (if available)**: 3D seismic interpretation is used to map structural features such as faults, fractures, and stratigraphic discontinuities, which influence reservoir connectivity and fluid flow.

The integration of these data sources provides a detailed reservoir characterization and forms the foundation for dynamic reservoir simulation.

4.2 Dynamic Reservoir Simulation Approach

A dynamic reservoir simulation model is developed to evaluate the performance of water flooding under various heterogeneity conditions. The workflow for simulation includes:

- **Reservoir Model Construction**: A 3D geological model is built using well data, core analysis, and seismic interpretation. The model includes spatial variations in permeability, porosity, and reservoir thickness.

- **Grid Refinemen**t: Fine-scale gridding is applied to capture heterogeneity and improve simulation accuracy, especially in areas with complex geological features.

- **Fluid Flow Simulation**: A numerical simulator (e.g., Eclipse, CMG, or equivalent software) is used to model multiphase flow and predict water injection behavior. The model is history-matched with actual production data to ensure accuracy.

- **Scenario Analysis**: Different water flooding scenarios are simulated to analyze the impact of heterogeneity on sweep efficiency, water breakthrough, and oil recovery.

By simulating fluid flow under various conditions, the model provides insights into reservoir performance and helps optimize water flooding strategies.

4.3 Sensitivity Analysis of Key Heterogeneity Parameters

A sensitivity analysis is conducted to quantify the impact of reservoir heterogeneity on water flooding performance. Key parameters analyzed include:

- **Permeability Contrast**: The effect of high-permeability streaks and barriers on fluid displacement.

- **Reservoir Layering**: The influence of vertical and lateral permeability variations on sweep efficiency.

- **Fault and Fracture Networks**: The impact of sealing and non-sealing faults on water movement and pressure distribution.

- **Wettability and Capillary Pressure**: How rock-fluid interactions affect oil recovery under different water injection scenarios.

By systematically varying these parameters, the sensitivity analysis identifies critical factors that influence water flooding success and informs decision-making for field development.

4.4 Performance Metrics for Evaluating Water Flooding Efficiency

To assess the effectiveness of water flooding, several key performance metrics are used:

- ✓ **Oil Recovery Factor (%)**: The percentage of original oil in place (OOIP) recovered through water flooding.

- ✓ **Water Cut (%)**: The ratio of produced water to total liquid production, indicating breakthrough behavior and water management efficiency.

- ✓ **Sweep Efficiency**: The fraction of the reservoir volume effectively contacted by injected water, determining displacement effectiveness.

- ✓ **Pressure Maintenance**: The ability of water injection to sustain reservoir pressure and enhance displacement efficiency.

These metrics provide a quantitative assessment of water flooding performance and help in designing optimized injection strategies for improved oil recovery.

5. Results and Discussion

This section presents the findings from dynamic reservoir simulations and field observations, analyzing how reservoir heterogeneity affects water flooding performance in the Amal Field. The discussion includes insights from simulation results, case studies of different reservoir zones, challenges posed by heterogeneity, and a comparison with theoretical models and actual field data.

5.1 Simulation Results and Observed Water Flooding Behavior

The dynamic reservoir simulation model was calibrated using historical production and injection data, ensuring accuracy in predicting water flooding behavior under different heterogeneity conditions. Key observations from the simulation include:

✧ **Water Movement and Sweep Efficiency**: The simulation results indicate uneven water movement due to permeability variations. In high-permeability zones, water quickly channels through, leaving lower-permeability areas unswept.

✧ **Breakthrough Patterns**: Early water breakthrough is observed in wells located near high-permeability streaks and natural fractures, reducing oil recovery efficiency. Conversely, zones with better permeability distribution exhibit more uniform displacement.

- **Pressure Distribution**: While water injection helps maintain reservoir pressure, certain isolated compartments experience pressure depletion due to limited connectivity, leading to inefficient oil displacement.

- **Production Performance**: Wells in heterogeneous zones exhibit erratic water cuts, with some producing excessive water while others remain under-swept. This highlights the impact of heterogeneity on fluid distribution and ultimate recovery.

5.2 Case Studies of Different Reservoir Zones and Their Flooding Responses

To better understand how reservoir heterogeneity affects water flooding, specific reservoir zones within the Amal Field were analyzed:

- **Zone A (High-Permeability Streaks)**: This zone shows rapid water breakthrough due to high-permeability channels, leading to early water production and reduced oil recovery. Sweep efficiency is low, with water bypassing significant oil volumes.

- **Zone B (Layered Sandstone with Moderate Permeability)**: Water flooding performance is more stable in this zone, with a gradual increase in water cut and improved recovery. However, vertical permeability variations cause minor conformance issues.

- **Zone C (Compartmentalized Reservoir with Fault Barriers)**: The presence of sealing faults restricts water movement, resulting in poor sweep efficiency in certain compartments. Targeted injection strategies are required to improve connectivity and displacement efficiency.

- **Zone D (Carbonate Formation with Fractures)**: This zone exhibits dual-porosity behavior, with fractures enhancing permeability but also causing early water breakthrough. Matrix-dominated areas retain significant oil volumes, necessitating specialized EOR techniques.

5.3 Challenges and Limitations Posed by Heterogeneity

Reservoir heterogeneity introduces several challenges that impact water flooding efficiency:

- **Uneven Sweep Efficiency**: High-permeability channels and fractures lead to inefficient oil displacement, requiring conformance control techniques.

- **Water Management Issues**: Excessive water production increases operational costs and reduces well productivity. Water handling and disposal become critical challenges.

- **Limited Reservoir Connectivity**: Faults and stratigraphic barriers compartmentalize the reservoir, preventing efficient pressure maintenance and fluid movement.

- **Uncertainty in Reservoir Characterization**: Variability in rock and fluid properties adds complexity to reservoir modeling and prediction accuracy.

Addressing these challenges requires a combination of advanced simulation techniques, real-time monitoring, and optimized injection strategies to enhance recovery.

5.4 Comparison with Theoretical Models and Field Observations

The simulation results align with established theoretical models on heterogeneous reservoirs but also highlight deviations due to site-specific geological complexities. Key comparisons include:

- ✓ **Water Flooding Theory vs. Field Performance**: Traditional Buckley-Leverett displacement models predict uniform fluid movement, but field data shows deviations due to permeability anisotropy and fractures.

- ✓ **Sweep Efficiency Predictions**: Theoretical models suggest higher recovery in homogeneous reservoirs, whereas the Amal Field exhibits lower-than-expected efficiency due to heterogeneity.

- ✓ **Breakthrough Timing**: Classical models estimate breakthrough based on mobility ratios, but field observations indicate earlier-than-predicted breakthrough due to preferential flow paths.

6. Strategies for Optimizing Water Flooding in Heterogeneous Reservoirs

Optimizing water flooding in heterogeneous reservoirs requires a combination of strategic well placement, advanced conformance control techniques, real-time monitoring, and adaptive reservoir management. These approaches help mitigate the adverse effects of reservoir heterogeneity, enhance sweep efficiency, and maximize oil recovery in the Amal Field.

6.1 Improved Well Placement and Injection Strategies

Proper well placement and injection strategies are crucial for ensuring uniform fluid displacement and minimizing bypassed oil zones. Key optimization techniques include:

- **Pattern Optimization**: Selecting the appropriate water flooding pattern (e.g., five-spot, seven-spot, line drive) based on reservoir heterogeneity to improve areal and vertical sweep efficiency.

- **Horizontal and Multi-Lateral Wells**: Deploying horizontal or multi-lateral wells in low-permeability or compartmentalized zones to enhance connectivity and improve oil displacement.

- **Intelligent Well Completions**: Using inflow control devices (ICDs) and autonomous inflow control valves (AICVs) to regulate water influx and delay early water breakthrough in high-permeability zones.

- **Zonal Injection Control**: Implementing selective or interval-based water injection to target under-swept regions while minimizing water production from high-permeability streaks.

Optimizing well placement and injection parameters based on reservoir modeling and dynamic simulation ensures effective water flooding performance.

6.2 Use of Conformance Control Techniques

Heterogeneous reservoirs often experience poor conformance due to permeability variations, fractures, and compartmentalization. The following conformance control techniques help improve sweep efficiency:

- **Polymer Flooding**: Increases water viscosity to reduce mobility contrast between water and oil, leading to improved displacement and delayed water breakthrough.

- **Gel Treatments**: Blocking high-permeability thief zones using crosslinked polymer gels prevents premature water breakthrough and diverts injected water to under-swept areas.

- **Foam-Assisted Water Flooding**: Introducing foam to modify fluid mobility and reduce excessive water channeling in fractured or highly heterogeneous reservoirs.

- ✧ **Surfactant Flooding**: Alters wettability and reduces interfacial tension, enhancing oil displacement and recovery from mixed-wet or oil-wet formations.

Applying these advanced conformance control techniques helps mitigate heterogeneity effects and maximize reservoir contact.

6.3 Reservoir Monitoring and Real-Time Data Integration

Continuous reservoir monitoring and real-time data integration are essential for adapting water flooding strategies and improving operational efficiency. Key technologies include:

- **4D Seismic Monitoring**: Detects fluid movement and identifies bypassed oil zones over time, allowing for targeted water injection adjustments.

- **Distributed Temperature and Pressure Sensors**: Installed in wells to monitor fluid flow behavior and optimize injection rates dynamically.

- **Tracer Injection Studies**: Helps track water flow paths and assess connectivity between injector and producer wells, enabling optimized injection planning.

- **Machine Learning and Predictive Analytics**: Utilizing artificial intelligence (AI) to analyze production trends, predict breakthrough events, and recommend real-time operational adjustments.

By integrating real-time data with reservoir simulation models, operators can make informed decisions to enhance water flooding efficiency.

6.4 Adaptive Reservoir Management for Enhanced Recovery

An adaptive reservoir management approach ensures continuous optimization of water flooding strategies based on real-time field performance. Key components include:

- **Dynamic Injection Rate Adjustments**: Modifying injection rates based on production response and water breakthrough behavior.

- **Reservoir Simulation Updates**: Regularly updating reservoir models with new production data to refine predictions and optimize recovery plans.

- **Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration**: Integrating geologists, reservoir engineers, and production teams to develop a holistic water flooding strategy.

- **Field Pilots and Experimental Studies**: Conducting pilot tests on advanced EOR techniques before full-field implementation to ensure feasibility and cost-effectiveness.

7. Conclusion

The impact of reservoir heterogeneity on water flooding performance in the Amal Field has been thoroughly analyzed, highlighting the challenges and opportunities associated with fluid displacement in a complex geological setting. The study demonstrates that variations in permeability, reservoir layering, faulting, and wettability significantly influence sweep efficiency, water breakthrough behavior, and overall oil recovery.

Dynamic reservoir simulations and field observations confirm that heterogeneity leads to uneven fluid movement, early water breakthrough in high-permeability zones, and inefficient displacement in compartmentalized areas. However, strategic interventions can mitigate these challenges and optimize water flooding performance.

Key strategies for enhancing recovery in heterogeneous reservoirs include improved well placement and injection planning, the application of conformance control techniques such as polymer flooding and gel treatments, real-time reservoir monitoring, and adaptive reservoir management. The integration of advanced simulation models, data-driven decision-making, and enhanced oil recovery (EOR) methods ensures improved sweep efficiency and maximized hydrocarbon extraction.

To achieve sustainable and economically viable water flooding operations in the Amal Field, continuous monitoring, field trials, and innovative reservoir management practices must be adopted. By leveraging advanced technologies and optimizing injection strategies, operators can overcome the complexities of reservoir heterogeneity and enhance oil recovery from mature and challenging reservoirs.

This study underscores the importance of a multidisciplinary approach in water flooding optimization, combining geoscience, engineering, and data analytics to maximize reservoir performance and long-term production efficiency. Future work should focus on further refining predictive models, testing emerging EOR techniques, and integrating artificial intelligence for enhanced reservoir management.

REFERENCES

1) Hassan, A. H., W. S. Hussien, A. I. Ismail, M. S. Nasr, M. M. Ibrahim, K. M. Magdy, and A. G. Hasanein. "Impact of Channel Incision on Kareem Sand Compartmentalization and Water Flooding Variability in the Badri Field: A Case Study in the East-Central Gulf of Suez, Egypt." In SPE Gas & Oil Technology Showcase and Conference, p. D011S012R002. SPE,2024.

2) Amer, Mohammed, Walid M. Mabrouk, Amr M. Eid, and Ahmed Metwally. "3D Seismic Modeling of the Ashrafi Oil Field: Assessing Hydrocarbon Potential and Characterizing the Belayim Formation in the Southern Gulf of Suez." Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering (2025): 1-17.

3) Ameen, M. S., MacPherson, K., Al-Marhoon, M. I., & Rahim, Z. (2012). Diverse fracture properties and their impact on performance in conventional and tight-gas reservoirs, Saudi Arabia: The Unayzah, South Haradh case study. AAPG bulletin, 96(3), 459-492.

4) Tewari, Raj Deo, Mohd Razib Bin Abd Raub, Wang Yin Xi, and Fahmi Abdallah. "Reservoir Management Ingredients for Optimizing the Water-Injection Performance in Small and Medium-Sized Fields." In SPE Middle East Oil and Gas Show and Conference, pp. SPE-104501. SPE,2007.

5) Aal, Samir A., N. A. Fattah, and N. El Defrawy. "Characteristics of Microzonation Modelling in Reservoir Evaluation--Case Study: Ras Budran Oil Field."

6) Alsane, A., Bora, A., Dhote, P., Thakuria, C., Dharanidharan, B., Koyassan Veedu, F., ... & Bin Salamah, M. A. (2023, October). Locating the Remaining Oil in a Mature Complexly Channelized Clastic Reservoir Using a Combination of Geological and Reservoir Engineering Concepts. In Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition and Conference (p. D021S033R003). SPE.

7) Walaan, A. E. M. (2022). Reservoir characterization and depositional setting of Hammam Faraun Member in North Morgan Field, Gulf of Suez, Egypt (Doctoral dissertation, Al-Azhar University).

8) Al Hadabi, I., Sasaki, K., Sugai, Y., & Yousefi-Sahzabi, A. (2016). Steam trap control valve for enhancing steam flood performance in an Omani heterogeneous heavy oil field. Journal of Unconventional Oil and Gas Resources, 16, 113-121.

9) Jellah, A. I. History Matching By Numerical Simulation for Undersaturated Oil Reservoir.

10) Jellah, Alfitouri Ibrahim. "History Matching By Numerical Simulation for Undersaturated Oil Reservoir."

11) Perumal, U. (2024c). Virtual reality technology for early detection and diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 58https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021105

12) Hassan, A. (2024b). Attempt to obtain the best organic fluid to improve the performance of a solar power plant. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 70https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021106

13) Hassan, A. (2024d). Design and Assessment of a solar collector system for low-cost, pollution-free cooking and grilling applications. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 77.https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021107

14) Perumal, U. (2024b). Tourism recommendation system using spatial and demographic features. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 84https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021108

15) Qasaymeh, Y. (2024b). Dielectric resonator antenna metallic strip integrated for frequency reconfigurable applications. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 99.https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021109

16) Alshehri, A. (2024b). Impact of drivers on implementation of the Construction Automation: a PLS SEM approach. Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 106https://doi.org/10.5455/jeas.2024021110

17) Jellah, A. I., Alabedi, O. M., & Anweer, M. A. G. Water Flooding Dsign for a Libyan Oil Field: Amal field-“Case Study”.